## On the comparison of results regarding the post-Newtonian approximate treatment of the dynamics of extended spinning compact binaries

S Hergt<sup>1</sup>, J Steinhoff<sup>2</sup> and G Schäfer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, Max-Wien-Platz 1, 07743 Jena. Germany

<sup>2</sup> Centro Multidisciplinar de Astrofísica (CENTRA), Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

E-mail: steven.hergt@uni-jena.de

Abstract. A brief review has been given of all the Hamiltonians, and effective potentials calculated hitherto covering the post-Newtonian (pN) dynamics of a two-body system. A method has been presented to compare (conservative) reduced Hamiltonians with non-reduced potentials directly at least up to the next-to-leading-pN order.

## 1. Post-Newtonian modelling and results of the two-body dynamics

The treatment of the two-body dynamics in general relativity through higher order post-Newtonian (pN) order has to incorporate both spin- and tidal-force-induced mass multipoles of the involved bodies [1]. In our analysis, we have focused on the spin-multipole degrees of freedom, and presented some illuminating results that will be useful for the extraction of binarysystem parameters from the emitted gravitational waves. One easy way for the modelling of such systems is the making use of the Tulczyjew's singular stress-energy tensor [2]  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , with the Greek coordinate indices running from 0 to 3, of the following form:

$$\sqrt{-g}T^{\mu\nu}(x^{\sigma}) = \int d\tau \left[ u^{(\mu}p^{\nu)}\delta_{(4)} + \left( u^{(\mu}S^{\nu)\alpha}\delta_{(4)} \right)_{||\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}R^{(\mu}_{\alpha\beta\rho}J^{\nu)\rho\beta\alpha}\delta_{(4)} - \frac{2}{3}\left( J^{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}\delta_{(4)} \right)_{||(\alpha\beta)} + \dots \right], \quad u^{\mu} = \frac{dz^{\mu}}{d\tau}, \quad \delta_{(4)} = \delta(z^{\sigma} - x^{\sigma}), \quad (1)$$

with the body's 4-velocity  $u^{\mu}$ , the 4-momentum  $p_{\mu}$ , the antisymmetric spin tensor  $S^{\mu\nu}$  modelling the pole-dipole structure, and Dixon's reduced quadrupole moment tensor  $J^{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}$  modelling the first order finite size effects while possessing the same symmetries as the Riemann tensor  $R^{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}$ .  $\delta_{(4)}$  is a 4-dimensional Dirac delta function,  $\int d^4x \delta_{(4)} = 1$ , and || denotes the 4-dimensional covariant derivative. The tensor  $J^{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}$  is decomposed into stress, flow and the symmetric tracefree mass quadrupole  $Q_{\mu\nu}$ . The latter is given by the ansatz with a vector  $f_{\mu}$  to which, the spin is orthogonal; i.e.,  $S^{\mu\nu}f_{\nu} = 0$  (See [3], Eq. (5.11) therein), and

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = \frac{C_Q}{m} \left( S_{\mu\rho} S_{\nu}{}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} S^{\rho\sigma} S_{\rho\sigma} \right), \quad P^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{f_{\rho} f^{\rho}} f^{\mu} f^{\nu}, \tag{2}$$

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution  $(\mathbf{\hat{t}})$ (cc) of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

| Order             | 1.0         | 1.5             | 2.0                 | 2.5               | 3.0                  | 3.5                  | 4.0                                 | 4.5                             |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $H^{N}$           | V           |                 |                     |                   |                      |                      |                                     |                                 |
| PM -              | $+ H^{1pN}$ | +               | $-H^{2pN} +$        | $H^{2.5pN}(t)[6]$ | $+ H^{3pN} +$        | $H^{3.5pN}(t)[7, 8]$ | $[B] + (H^{4pN})$                   | $+ \{H^{4.5pN}(t)\}$            |
| SO                | -           | $+ H_{SO}^{LO}$ | +                   | $ H_{SO}^{NLO}$   | +                    | $H_{SO}^{N^2LO}[9]$  | $+ \hspace{0.1in} H^{LO,R}_{SO}(t)$ | $+ (H_{SO}^{N^3LO})$            |
| $S_1^2$           |             | +               | - $H_{S_1^2}^{LO}$  |                   | $+  H^{NLO}_{S^2_1}$ |                      | $+ (H^{N^2LO}_{S^2_1})$             | $+ \ \{ H^{LO,R}_{S^2_1}(t) \}$ |
| $S_1S_2$          |             | +               | - $H_{S_1S_2}^{LO}$ |                   | $+ H^{NLO}_{S_1S_2}$ |                      | $+ H_{S_1S_2}^{N^2LO}[10]$          | + $H^{LO,R}_{S_1S_2}(t)$        |
| $\mathrm{spin}^3$ |             |                 |                     |                   | +                    | $[H^{LO}_{S^3}]$     |                                     | $+  (H^{NLO}_{S^3})$            |
| $\mathrm{spin}^4$ |             |                 |                     |                   |                      |                      | + $[H_{S^4}^{LO}]$                  |                                 |

Table 1. Post-Newtonian Hamiltonians known to date.

{.} Eqs. of motion known [.] For black holes only (.) Not known (yet)

and is parametrized by one constant  $C_Q$  in the leading order quadratic-in-spin level, in this way fully encoding the rotational deformation. For black holes, one has  $C_Q = 1$  [4], while for neutron star models,  $C_Q$  depends on the equations of state [5] and varies between 4.3 and 7.4. The next step in performing explicit pN-calculations is complex in various ways. We have compared two prominent methods: One method aims at calculating a Hamiltonian. This is achieved by a 3+1 decomposition of Einstein's field equations and the energy-momentum tensor from Eq. (1) leading to constraints, which have to be fulfilled at all instants of time on the 3-dimensional hypersurfaces of constant coordinate time. We have then used the ADM formalism as outlined in [3] to find the canonical set of variables  $(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_I, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_I, \hat{\mathbf{S}}_I)$  with the body label I = 1, 2 fulfilling their standard canonical Poisson bracket relations  $\{\hat{z}_I^i, \hat{p}_{Jj}\} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{IJ}$  and  $\{\hat{S}_{I(i)}, \hat{S}_{I(j)}\} = \epsilon_{ijk}\hat{S}_{I(k)}$  with i, j, k running from 1 to 3. Letters embraced by round brackets indicate the components in local Lorentz frames and are denoted by  $a, b, \ldots$  from the beginning of the alphabet; so a can take the "values"  $a \in \{(0), (i)\}$ . The spin-tensor components  $S_{ab}$  are connected to the coordinate-frame components through a vierbein transformation  $S_{ab} = e_{a\mu}e_{b\nu}S^{\mu\nu}$ .

The ADM formalism also leads to a formula for calculation of the Hamiltonian in full reduced phase-space by imposing the ADMTT or transverse-traceless gauge to the 3-metric on the 3dimensional hypersurfaces, and by choosing the canonical spin supplementary condition (SSC) to get canonical variables for the bodies. By expansion of the constraints in pN-powers of  $\frac{v^2}{c^2} \sim \frac{Gm}{r^2c^2}$ (two-body system virial theorem, speed of light c is not put equal to one) one ends up with a perturbative scheme for the calculation of the various pN-Hamiltonians. As the spin is of pN order 1/c or  $1/c^2$  depending on its strength, the formal labelling is such that we have called the first post-Newtonian spin-Hamiltonians not 1.5pN or 2.5pN according to formal counting rules but just as leading order (LO) ones and the higher corrections we have called next-to-leading (NLO), and next-to-next-to-leading order (N<sup>2</sup>LO).

In Table 1, we have given a list of all known pN Hamiltonians for the case of maximally rotating objects where  $|\mathbf{S}| = \frac{Gm^2a}{c}$  with the dimensionless spin a.  $H^N$  is the Newtonian Hamiltonian, PM means "point-mass", i.e., without spin,  $H^{nPN}$  with  $n \in \{1, 2, ...\}$  are the conservative pure point-mass Hamiltonians,  $H^{\frac{n}{2}PN}$  are the non-autonomous, radiation-reaction (dissipative) pure point-mass Hamiltonians, SO refers to spin-orbit coupling,  $S_1S_2$  to spin(1)-

spin(2) coupling, and  $S_1^2$  to spin-quadrupole coupling involving the constant  $C_Q$ . LO,R in the index indicates the leading-order reaction counterpart, so  $H_{S_1^2}^{LO,R}$  is the reaction counterpart to the conservative part  $H_{S_1^2}^{LO}$ . Obviously, the reaction part is much higher in its pN order than the conservative part, but nevertheless they are important to cover the dynamics to 4.5pN order consistently; up until now the reaction field for point masses is known to 3.5pN order [7, 8]. One other method to arrive at pN equations of motion is the derivation of effective potentials, which are subtly related to Hamiltonians by a Legendre transformation. This derivation is most effectively achieved by sophisticated methods from Effective Field Theory (EFT) that uses full knowledge from quantum field theoretical calculations. Up until now, pN potentials have been calculated to 3pN order [11] for point masses and to NNLO for spin(1)-spin(2) coupling [12].

2. Comparison between Effective Field Theory potentials and ADM Hamiltonians Effective potentials are part of a Lagrangian with the Newtonian kinetic energy  $T_N$ , given as:

$$L_{eff} = T_N - V_{eff} = \frac{m_1}{2}v_1^2 + \frac{m_2}{2}v_2^2 - V_{eff}.$$
(3)

The conservative effective potential  $V_{eff}$  for two interacting bodies is pN expanded up to next-to-leading order (NLO) spin effects in the following way:

$$V_{eff} = V_{PM} + V_{SO}^{LO} + V_{S_1^2}^{LO} + V_{S_2^2}^{LO} + V_{S_1S_2}^{LO} + V_{SO}^{NLO} + V_{S_1^2}^{NLO} + V_{S_2^2}^{NLO} + V_{S_1S_2}^{NLO} .$$
(4)

One key difference between EFT potentials and ADM Hamiltonians is that in most cases the potentials still depend on the  $S^{(0)(i)}$ -components of the spin tensor, which have to be fixed by choosing an appropriate SSC.

For a direct comparison, a formal Legendre transformation of the non-reduced potentials is conducted yielding the effective Hamiltonian  $H_{eff}$ , which is followed by a reduction process in phase-space in order to arrive at a canonical set of variables (See [13] for details). This 'canonicalization' is most transparently accomplished by reducing the following effective action:

$$S_{eff} = \int dt \, L_{eff} = \int dt \left( p_{1i} \dot{z}_1^i + p_{2i} \dot{z}_2^i - \frac{1}{2} S_{1ab} \Omega_1^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} S_{2ab} \Omega_2^{ab} - H_{eff} \left( \mathbf{z}_I, \mathbf{p}_I, S_{Iab} \right) \right).$$
(5)

Here, we have defined the angular velocity tensor  $\Omega^{ab} \equiv \Lambda_A^{\ a} \dot{\Lambda}^{Ab}$  rendering  $\Omega^{ab}$  antisymmetric and  $\Lambda_{A\mu} \Lambda^A_{\ \nu} = g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_{Aa} \Lambda^A_{\ b} = \eta_{ab}$  with  $(A, B, ...) \in \{[0], [i]\}$  being the body-fixed Lorentz-frame labels. The reduced action has to read as:

$$\hat{S}_{eff} = \int dt \left( \hat{p}_{1i} \dot{\hat{z}}_1^i + \hat{p}_{2i} \dot{\hat{z}}_2^i - \frac{1}{2} \hat{S}_{1(i)(j)} \hat{\Omega}_1^{(i)(j)} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{S}_{2(i)(j)} \hat{\Omega}_2^{(i)(j)} - H_{can} \left( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_I, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_I, \hat{\mathbf{S}}_I \right) \right)$$
(6)

with  $\hat{\Omega}^{(i)(j)} = \hat{\Lambda}_{[k]}^{(i)} \dot{\hat{\Lambda}}^{[k](j)}$  given by a non-linear shift of  $\Lambda^{[k](i)}$  to  $\hat{\Lambda}^{[k](i)}$  so that  $\hat{\Lambda}^{[k](i)} \hat{\Lambda}^{[k](j)} = \delta_{ij}$ .

This reduction is achieved by inserting the covariant SSC  $S_{ab}u^b = 0$  as well as its conjugate condition  $\Lambda^{[i]a}u_a = 0$  into  $\frac{1}{2}S_{ab}\Omega^{ab}$ , and performing a pN approximate variable transformation of spin and position reading as:

$$z_{1}^{i} = \hat{z}_{1}^{i} - \left[\frac{1}{2m_{1}^{2}}p_{1k}\hat{S}_{1(i)(k)}\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1}^{2}}{4m_{1}^{2}}\right) - G\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}^{2}}\frac{p_{1k}\hat{S}_{1(i)(k)}}{\hat{r}_{12}} + \frac{3}{2}G\frac{p_{2k}\hat{S}_{1(i)(k)}}{m_{1}\hat{r}_{12}} + \frac{G^{2}\hat{n}_{12}^{k}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2})\hat{S}_{1(i)(k)}}{m_{1}\hat{r}_{12}} + G\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}^{2}}\frac{\hat{S}_{1(k)(l)}\hat{S}_{1(i)(l)}\hat{n}_{12}^{k}}{\hat{r}_{12}^{2}} + G\frac{\hat{n}_{12}^{k}\hat{S}_{1(i)(l)}\hat{S}_{2(k)(l)}}{m_{1}\hat{r}_{12}^{2}}\right], \quad (7)$$

Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Gravitation and Cosmology (ICGC2011) IOP Publishing Journal of Physics: Conference Series **484** (2014) 012018 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/484/1/012018

|                                  | 9                       |           | *                      |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $V_{NLO}^{SO}$<br>$V_{NLO}^{SO}$ | Levi[14]<br>Porto[17]   |           | $H^{SO}_{NLOADM}$      | Damour/Jaranowski/Schäfer[15, 16] |
| $V_{NLO}^{S_1S_2}$               | Porto/Rothstein[18, 19] | $\approx$ | $H_{NLOADM}^{S_1 S_2}$ | Steinhoff/Hergt/Schäfer[20, 16]   |
| $V_{NLO}^{S_1^2}$                | Porto/Rothstein[21, 22] |           | $H_{NLOADM}^{S_1^2}$   | Hergt/Steinhoff/Schäfer[23]       |

 Table 2. Agreement between EFT potentials and ADM Hamiltonians.

$$S_{1(i)(j)} = \hat{S}_{1(i)(j)} - \left[ \frac{p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](k)}p_{1k}}{m_1^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^2}{4m_1^2} \right) - \frac{2Gm_2}{m_1^2\hat{r}_{12}} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](k)}p_{1k} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3G}{m_1\hat{r}_{12}} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](k)}p_{2k} + \frac{G}{m_1\hat{r}_{12}} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](k)}\hat{n}_{12}^k (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{2Gm_2}{m_1^2\hat{r}_{12}^2} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](l)}\hat{S}_{1(k)(l)}\hat{n}_{12}^k + \frac{2G}{m_1\hat{r}_{12}^2} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](l)}\hat{S}_{2(k)(l)}\hat{n}_{12}^k \right].$$

$$\left. \left. + \frac{2Gm_2}{m_1^2\hat{r}_{12}^2} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](l)}\hat{S}_{1(k)(l)}\hat{n}_{12}^k + \frac{2G}{m_1\hat{r}_{12}^2} p_{1[i}\hat{S}_{1(j)](l)}\hat{S}_{2(k)(l)}\hat{n}_{12}^k \right].$$

$$\left. \right.$$

Those formulas are valid to transform the potentials at least to NLO to their canonical Hamiltonian counterpart, which enabled us to obtain an overall agreement of all EFT NLO potentials with their corresponding ADM Hamiltonian as displayed in Table 2 up to canonical transformations indicated by  $\approx$  (See [13] for a thorough investigation).

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through SFB/TR7 "Gravitational Wave Astronomy," project STE 2017/1-1, and GRK 1523, and by the FCT (Portugal) through PTDC project CTEAST/098034/2008.

## References

- [1] Binnington T and Poisson E 2009 Phys. Rev. D 80 084018
- [2] Tulczyjew W M 1959 Acta Phys. Pol. 18 393
- [3] Steinhoff J 2011 Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 523 296
- [4] Thorne K S 1980 Rev. Mod. Phys. 52 299
- [5] Laarakkers W G and Poisson E 1999 Ap. J. **512** 282
- [6] Schäfer G 1985 Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 161 81
- [7] Jaranowski P and Schäfer G 1997 Phys. Rev. D 55 4712
- [8] Königsdörffer C, Faye G and Schäfer G 2003 Phys. Rev. D 68 044004
- [9] Hartung J and Steinhoff J 2011 Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 523 783
- [10] Hartung J and Steinhoff J 2011 Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 523 919
- [11] Foffa S and Sturani R 2011 Phys. Rev. D  ${\bf 84}$ 044031
- [12] Levi M 2011 [gr-qc[1107.4322]]
- [13] Hergt S, Steinhoff J and Schäfer G 2012 Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 327 1494
- [14] Levi M 2010 Phys. Rev. D 82 104004
- [15] Damour T, Jaranowski P and Schäfer G 2008 Phys. Rev. D 77 064032
- [16] Steinhoff J, Schäfer G and Hergt S 2008 Phys. Rev. D 77 104018
- [17] Porto R A 2010 Class. Quant. Grav. 27 205001

| Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Gravitation and G | Cosmology (ICGC2011) | IOP Publishing   |
|--|----------------------|------------------|
| Journal of Physics: Conference Series 484 (2014) 012018              | doi:10.1088/1742-6   | 596/484/1/012018 |

- [18] Porto R A and Rothstein I Z 2008 Phys. Rev. D 78 044012
  [19] Porto R A and Rothstein I Z 2010 Phys. Rev. D 81 029904(E)
- [20] Steinhoff J, Hergt S and Schäfer G 2008 Phys. Rev. D 77 081501(R)
- [21] Porto R A and Rothstein I Z 2008 Phys. Rev. D 78 044013
  [22] Porto R A and Rothstein I Z 2010 Phys. Rev. D 81 029905(E)
- [23] Hergt S, Steinhoff J and Schäfer G 2010 Class. Quant. Grav. 27 135007