



Graichen, Uwe; Eichardt, Roland; Fiedler, Patrique; Strohmeier, Daniel; Freitag, Stefanie; Zanow, Frank; Haueisen, Jens:

Spatial harmonic analysis of EEG data: a comparison with PCA and ICA

URN: urn:nbn:de:gbv:ilm1-2015210026

Published OpenAccess: January 2015

Original published in:

Clinical EEG and neuroscience: official journal of the EEG and Clinical Neuroscience Society (ECNS). - London: Sage (ISSN 2169-5202). - 44 (2013) 4, S. E83, P077.

DOI: 10.1177/1550059413507209

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1550059413507209

[Visited: 2014-10-14]

"Im Rahmen der hochschulweiten Open-Access-Strategie für die Zweitveröffentlichung identifiziert durch die Universitätsbibliothek Ilmenau."

"Within the academic Open Access Strategy identified for deposition by Ilmenau University Library."

"Dieser Beitrag ist mit Zustimmung des Rechteinhabers aufgrund einer (DFG-geförderten) Allianz- bzw. Nationallizenz frei zugänglich."

"This publication is with permission of the rights owner freely accessible due to an Alliance licence and a national licence (funded by the DFG, German Research Foundation) respectively."



Clinical EEG and Neuroscience

http://eeg.sagepub.com/

Abstracts of Presentations at the International Conference on Basic and Clinical Multimodal Imaging (BaCl), a Joint Conference of the International Society for Neuroimaging in Psychiatry (ISNIP), the International Society for Functional Source Imaging (ISFSI), the International Society for Bioelectromagnetism (ISBEM), the International Society for Brain Electromagnetic Topography (ISBET), and the EEG and Clinical Neuroscience Society (ECNS), in Geneva, Switzerland, September 5-8, 2013

Clin EEG Neurosci 2013 44: E1 originally published online 24 December 2013

DOI: 10.1177/1550059413507209

The online version of this article can be found at: http://eeg.sagepub.com/content/44/4/E1

Published by: SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of:



EEG and Clinical Neuroscience Society

Additional services and information for Clinical EEG and Neuroscience can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://eeg.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://eeg.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

>> Version of Record - Jan 21, 2014

OnlineFirst Version of Record - Dec 24, 2013

What is This?

P077. Spatial Harmonic Analysis of EEG Data: A Comparison With PCA and ICA

U. Graichen¹, R. Eichardt¹, P. Fiedler¹, D. Strohmeier¹, S. Freitag¹, F. Zanow², and J. Haueisen^{1,3}

¹Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science and Automation, Ilmenau University of Technology, Ilmenau, Germany

²eemagine Medical Imaging Solutions GmbH, Berlin, Germany ³Biomagnetic Center, Department of Neurology, University Clinic Jena, Jena, Germany

Introduction. In the analysis of multichannel EEG data, the spatial distribution of the measured potentials is of particular interest. We present a method for the spatial harmonic analysis (SHA) of irregularly sampled data, which can be regarded as a generalization of the Fourier analysis. Our objective is to compare SHA with the principal component analysis (PCA) and the independent component analysis (ICA) for the decomposition of EEG data. Materials and methods. The basis functions of SHA are computed by solving the Laplacian eigenvalue problem. The required Laplace-Beltrami operator was discretized by a FEM approach. The EEG data are decomposed by projection into the space of basis functions. This is similar to PCA or ICA, where projections into the space of principal or independent components are used. For the comparison, we use an own implementation of PCA and the FastICA¹ algorithm. Somatosensory-evoked potentials were recorded in eleven healthy volunteers. The median nerve of the right forearm was stimulated by bipolar electrodes. EEG signals were recorded with 256 channels (equidistant electrode layout) and 2 coupled 128 channel amplifiers. The positions of the EEG electrodes were digitized. The data were sampled at 2048 Hz and highpass (2 Hz) and notch (50 Hz and 2 harmonics) filtered. All trials were manually checked for artifacts; the remaining trials were averaged. Results. The SEP data was decomposed by the 3 methods. The computational time to determine the SHA basis functions and the PCA components was below one second. The computational effort to determine the independent components by ICA was significantly higher (in the range of minutes). The time for data decomposition was similar for all three approaches. Three principal components (PCA), seven basis functions (SHA) and 98 independent components (ICA)

out of 256 were required, on average, to describe 90% of the original signal's energy. *Conclusions*. The best result in reducing the data dimensionality in our application was achieved by PCA, closely followed by SHA. The advantage of SHA is that the basis functions can be computed prior the recording of the time series, because only the sensor positions are required for its determination. This is particularly beneficial for time critical applications.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (KF2250111ED2).

Reference

1. Hyvärinen, A. IEEE Trans Neural Netw. 1999;10(3):626-634.