

# TECHNICAL REPORTS 25



The WRF Greenhouse Gas Model (WRF-GHG)

> by Veronika Beck



ISSN 1615-7400

# Technical Reports - Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie 25, 2011

Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie P.O.Box 10 01 64 07701 Jena/Germany phone: +49 3641 576-0 fax: + 49 3641 577300 http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de

# The WRF Greenhouse Gas Model (WRF-GHG)



written by Veronika Beck<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Koch<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Kretschmer<sup>1</sup>, Julia Marshall<sup>1</sup>, Ravan Ahmadov<sup>2,3</sup>, Christoph Gerbig<sup>1</sup>, Dhanyalekshmi Pillai<sup>1</sup>, and Martin Heimann<sup>1</sup>

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> also at: Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

# Contents

| 1            | Ove               | rview  | 1                       |
|--------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 2            | <b>The</b> 2.1    | WRF Greenhouse Gas Model (WRF-GHG)         Description of WRF-GHG         2.1.1       Development history of WRF-GHG         2.1.2       Tracer transport within WRF-GHG | <b>3</b><br>3<br>3<br>4 |
|              | 22                | 2.1.3 Description of the code structure of WRF-GHG   | 5<br>10                 |
|              | $\frac{2.2}{2.3}$ | $CO_2$ CH <sub>4</sub> and CO fluxes in WBF-GHG  | 12                      |
|              | 2.0               | 2.3.1 Online calculated fluxes   | $12 \\ 12$              |
|              |                   | 2.3.2 External flux data sets  | 19                      |
| 3            | WR                | F-GHG Preprocessors  | 25                      |
|              | 3.1               | VPRM-Preprocessor  | $\overline{25}$         |
|              |                   | 3.1.1 Description of the VPRM-Preprocessor   | 25                      |
|              |                   | 3.1.2 Installation, Configuration and usage of the VPRM-Preprocessor   | 26                      |
|              | 3.2               | Biomass burning preprocessor:  |                         |
|              |                   | Prep_chem_sources  | 29                      |
|              |                   | 3.2.1 Description of the preprocessor  | 29                      |
|              | 0.0               | 3.2.2 Running the preprocessor   | 29                      |
|              | 3.3               | Walter wetland model   | 32                      |
| 4            | How               | v to run WRF-GHG   | <b>35</b>               |
|              | 4.1               | Steps to run WRF-GHG   | 35                      |
|              | 4.2               | Namelist settings  | 36                      |
|              | 4.3               | Matlab script  | 38                      |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | Cou               | pling the GHG model to a new WRF release   | 41                      |
| в            | Figu              | ires   | 47                      |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | Mod               | del Organizing Software System (MOSS)  | 53                      |
|              | C.1               | Steps to start a WRF-GHG simulation with MOSS  | 53                      |
| D            | Run               | ning WRF-GHG without Matlab  | 59                      |
|              | List              | of figures   | 69                      |
|              | Bibli             | iography   | 71                      |

# IMPORTANT NOTE: CODE ACCESS AND COPYING

The WRF-GHG code is copyrighted. This User's Guide is the only documentation provided with this release of WRF-GHG. You may NOT make copies of any part of the code for distribution to any other person or group.

The WRF-GHG source code is distributed for free on request. Please email to Veronika Beck (vbeck@bgc-jena.mpg.de), Thomas Koch (tkoch@bgc-jena.mpg.de), or Christoph Gerbig (cgerbig@bgc-jena.mpg.de) to obtain registration and access information. Afterwards, the source code can be downloaded from the following webpage http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/bgc-systems/index.shtml/ under the button "Download".

If you use WRF-GHG in your research:

- We request that you include the following acknowledgement in the relevant manuscripts: "WRF-GHG is provided by the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Germany. MPI assumes no responsibility for the proper use of WRF-GHG by others."
- Please reference WRF-GHG:

Beck, V., T. Koch, R. Kretschmer, J. Marshall, R. Ahmadov, C. Gerbig, D. Pillai, and M. Heimann, (2011): The WRF Greenhouse Gas Model (WRF-GHG). Technical Report No. 25, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany.

If you have made any significant modifications to the code, please mention them in your manuscript.

We are interested to get reports from users about new applications of WRF-GHG, including any problems that come up. If you have questions about the code, appropriate model applications, possible programming errors, etc., please read this entire guide first, and then feel free to contact:

Veronika Beck (vbeck@bgc-jena.mpg.de) or Christoph Gerbig (cgerbig@bgc-jena.mpg.de)

# Chapter 1

# Overview

In the context of global warming and climate change, carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and methane  $(CH_4)$ , the two most important greenhouse gases, gain more and more attention. In addition to atmospheric observations of greenhouse gases (and additionally, carbon monoxide (CO) used as a tracer for  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ ) and a required thorough understanding of the processes emitting and consuming  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ , powerful models are indispensable to predict possible impacts of climate change. To achieve this goal, transport simulations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere at high resolution are essential. Addressing this need, the WRF Greenhouse Gas model was developed to allow for passive tracer transport simulations of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO. Several flux models and emission inventories are used for an estimation of the emission and consumption fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO. These flux models are either coupled online, i.e. their code is implemented into the WRF-GHG code or are operated as preprocessors for WRF-GHG to calculate fluxes for the different source and sink processes for  $CO_2$  (autotrophic respiration, photosynthesis, biomass burning, other anthropogenic emissions, termites, ocean sources), CH<sub>4</sub> (wetlands, biomass burning, other anthropogenic emissions, termites, soil uptake) and CO (biomass burning, other anthropogenic emissions).

The main intention of this technical report is to serve as a user guide for WRF-GHG users and developers. The technical report is organized as followed:

The development history of the WRF-GHG code and a detailed description of the WRF-GHG structure is given in Chapter 2. The usage of initial and boundary conditions for the greenhouse gas tracer and the depiction of the different flux models used within WRF-GHG is also found in this chapter.

Chapter 3 focuses on the preprocessors of WRF-GHG. The VPRM-Preprocessor, a biomass burning preprocessor and the Walter wetland model are described in this chapter with the main focus on the installation, configuration and running of the preprocessors.

Namelist settings, compilation, a list of steps how to run WRF-GHG, and a description of the matlab script used to read in  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO flux fields as well as initial and boundary conditions into the WRF model can be found in Chapter 4.

Everything required to update WRF-GHG to a more recent WRF version (currently WRFV3.2 is used) is described in Appendix A. Appendix B illustrates some results of WRF simulations using WRF-GHG in the Amazon region. In Appendix C, the use of the Model Organizing Software System (MOSS) for automatized simulations of WRF-GHG is explained. The use of open source NCO commands in place of matlab scripts for the manipulation of flux fields and lateral boundary conditions is described in Appendix D.

# Chapter 2

# The WRF Greenhouse Gas Model (WRF-GHG)

The WRF Greehouse Gas model (WRF-GHG) is an augmentation of the coupled Weather Reasearch and Forecasting model (WRF) to the Vegetation Photosynthesis and Respiration model (VPRM), WRF-VPRM, which is described in detail in Ahmadov et al. [2007] (WRF-VPRM). The main objective of WRF-VPRM is to simulate high resolution passive tracer transport of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). WRF-GHG is an extension of WRF-VPRM allowing for passive tracer transport not only for CO<sub>2</sub>, but also for methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO). A further advantage of both WRF-GHG and WRF-VPRM is that initial and boundary conditions can be derived from three-dimensional fields of global simulations of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO, e.g. resulting from fluxes optimized by atmospheric inversions on a global scale. CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO fluxes from different source and sink processes are either calculated "online" (the flux model is implemented in the WRF-GHG code) or "offline" (an external e.g. process-based bottom-up model is used to calculate the fluxes which are then transported inside WRF-GHG).

Chapter 1 first gives a description of WRF-GHG with its newly introduced modules and routines, followed by the set-up for the initial and boundary conditions. Finally, a short illustration of all flux models and datasets used for the calculation of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO fluxes concludes this chapter.

# 2.1 Description of WRF-GHG

# 2.1.1 Development history of WRF-GHG

The main motivation for developing WRF-GHG was its application to perform regional simulations of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO transport in the atmosphere over the Amazon basin during the BARCA (Balanço Atmosférico Regional de Carbona na Amazônia) project. The coupling of the GHG model to the WRF model started with WRF version WRFV3.1.1 and was then upgraded to WRF version V3.2. Meanwhile, WRF-GHG has been tested for Siberia and the Amazon region (both using WRF-GHG based on WRFV3.2 version) and Europe (still as the WRF-VPRM based on WRFV3.0.1 version performing only  $CO_2$  simulations) with different the physics options of the WRF model shown in Table 2.1.

| Physics schemes         | Options Europe/Siberia                           |
|-------------------------|--|
| Radiation               | rrtm scheme (longwave) Dudhia scheme (shortwave) |
| Microphysics            | WSM 5-class scheme                               |
| Cumulus parametrization | Kain-Fritsch (new Eta) scheme                    |
| PBL parametrization     | YSU or MYJ scheme                                |
| Surface layer           | Monin-Obukhov scheme                             |
| Land surface model      | NOAH-LSM   |
| Physics schemes         | Options Amazon                                   |
| Radiation               | RRTMG long and short wave                        |
| Microphysics            | WSM 5-class scheme                               |
| Cumulus parametrization | Grell-Devenyi and Grell3                         |
| PBL parametrization     | MYJ (Eta) TKE scheme                             |
| Surface layer           | Monin-Obukhov (Janjic Eta) scheme                |
| Land surface model      | NOAH-LSM   |

Table 2.1: Physics options of WRF-GHG used for Europe and Siberia and the Amazon region.

# 2.1.2 Tracer transport within WRF-GHG

The WRF model (ARW core) uses fully compressible, non-hydrostatic Eulerian equations on an Arakawa C-staggered grid with conservation of mass, momentum, entropy, and scalars [Skamarock et al., 2008]. Tracers are transported online in a passive way, i.e. without any chemical reactions within the framework of the GHG\_TRACER package with chemistry option 98 (chem\_opt == 98) in the namelist.input file (see Sec. 4.2 for details). Therefore all chemical mechanisms besides vertical mixing are turned off if the tracer transport option is used [Ahmadov et al., 2007]. Online tracer transport implies that transport is performed simultaneously with the meteorological variables at each time step [Ahmadov et al., 2007]. Emissions are added at each time step to the tracer concentration in the lowest model layer. The tracers undergo the advection, boundary layer, and convective mixing as the chemical species. Additionally, from WRFV3.1.1 onwards an option for subgrid convective transport of chemical species has been implemented [Peckham et al., 2009].

In WRF-Chem Version 3.2 a tracer option has already been implemented [Peckham et al., 2009], but here the separate  $GHG_TRACER$  package is used to allow for online calculation of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes inside the WRF-Chem model [Grell et al., 2005]. Additionally, other features such as the coupled plumerise mechanism for biomass burning emissions [Grell et al., 2010] are available in the  $GHG_TRACER$  package which are not available with the standard WRF-Chem tracer option and emissions are handled differently, e.g. no dry deposition.

#### 2.1.3 Description of the code structure of WRF-GHG

Basically, the necessary modifications to the "usual" WRF code for WRF-GHG consist of four additional modules which are added to the WRF-Chem source code and some additional required minor modifications to the WRF-Chem source code itself. The new modules consist of a separate registry file (registry.ghg), where all the additional tracer and flux variables used inside WRF-GHG are defined, which is included in the usual WRF-Chem registry (Registry.EM\_CHEM) and the greenhouse gas module itself (module\_greenhouse\_gases.F) which contains two main parts, the connection of the emissions (fluxes) to the atmospheric concentrations (tracer) and the online calculation of several  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  flux fields. Further on, the subroutines for converting fluxes into atmospheric concentrations and adding them to the corresponding tracer variable are located in module\_add\_emissions.F. For biomass burning fluxes and the corresponding tracer, the plumerise model, also part of the standard WRF-Chem [Grell et al., 2010], was modified and adapted to the needs of WRF-GHG and can now be used as an additional option (module\_plumerise\_ghg.F). The subroutine for the greenhouse gas module contains all calls to subroutines for the calculation of online fluxes and the addition of tracer concentrations. It, in turn, is called by the chem\_driver.F routine in the <WRFV3/chem> directory if chem\_opt == 98 is set in the namelist.input file.

In the following subsections the four additional modules (registry.ghg, module\_add \_emissions.F,module\_greenhouse\_gases.F, and module\_plumerise\_ghg.F) are explained in more detail.

# registry.ghg

The registry.ghg file is located in the WRF-GHG code in the directory <WRFV3/ Registry> and included in the main registry of the WRF-Chem model (Registry.EM \_CHEM). All additional variables for tracers and fluxes used by WRF-GHG, variables needed for the online calculation of fluxes, and all the WRF-GHG-specific namelist options are defined here. For more detailed information on the system of defining variables in the WRF registry see the WRF registry description of Michalakes and Schaffer [2004]. All variables defined in registry.ghg are global variables and the empty arrays are created in the wrfinput (and additionally also in the wrfbdy file) if it is specified in the namelist.input file that lateral boundary conditions are requested (for all tracers, see namelist option have\_bcs\_chem = .true. in Sec. 4.2). If it was defined in registry.ghg, the variables are also written to the wrfoutput file.

All tracers are defined as four-dimensional chem arrays in the registry.ghg file. The notation is  $CO2_1$ , ...,  $CO2_6$  and  $CO2_B$  for  $CO_2$ ,  $CH4_1$ , ...,  $CH4_7$  and  $CH4_B$  for  $CH_4$ , and  $COT_1$ , ...,  $COT_3$  and  $COT_B$  for CO. The unit of the tracer is [kg/kg]. An overview on the assignment of the single tracer variables to different source and sink processes of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO is illustrated in Table 2.2.

Additionally, all flux variables representing fluxes of different processes emitting or consuming CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO are defined in registry.ghg. Table 2.3 illustrates a full overview of all fluxes (units in  $[kg/m^2s]$ ) defined in registry.ghg. The flux variable

| Variable (chem) | Tracer  |
|-----------------|---|
| CO2_1           | total atmospheric $CO_2$ concentration                                |
| $CO2_2$         | changes in $CO_2$ concentration from biogenic activity                |
| $CO2_{-3}$      | changes in $CO_2$ concentration from anthropogenic emissions          |
| $CO2_4$         | changes in $CO_2$ concentration from biomass burning                  |
| $CO2_5$         | changes in $CO_2$ concentration from termites                         |
| $CO2_{-6}$      | changes in $CO_2$ concentration from ocean fluxes                     |
| CO2_B           | atmospheric $CO_2$ background concentration                           |
| CH4_1           | total atmospheric $CH_4$ concentration                                |
| $CH4_2$         | changes in $CH_4$ concentration from wetland emissions                |
| $CH4_{-}3$      | changes in CH <sub>4</sub> concentration from anthropogenic emissions |
| $CH4_4$         | changes in $CH_4$ concentration from biomass burning                  |
| $CH4_{-5}$      | changes in $CH_4$ concentration from termite emissions                |
| $CH4_{-6}$      | changes in $CH_4$ concentration from soil uptake                      |
| $CH4_7$         | changes in $CH_4$ concentration from vegetation                       |
| CH4_B           | atmospheric $CH_4$ background concentration                           |
| COT_1           | total atmospheric CO concentration                                    |
| $COT_{-2}$      | changes in CO concentration from biomass burning                      |
| COT_3           | changes in CO concentration from anthropogenic emissions              |
| COT_B           | atmospheric CO background concentration                               |

Table 2.2: Overview of all tracer variables defined in the registry.ghg file

also defines the time dimension of the external flux which can be either hourly (30 time steps per simulation day) or daily (one time step per day). In case the external fluxes are on different time resolutions, the time dimension of the flux variable can be adjusted in registry.ghg. The time resolution of internal calculated fluxes depends on the time step of the model and the output history time step that can be specified in the namelist.input file. A detailed description of the online flux calculation and the models coupled online to WRF-GHG follows in Sec. 2.1.3.

Furthermore, all the namelist options that had been established for the WRF-GHG and that are new in the namelist.input file are defined here. The last line of the registry.ghg file shows how the WRF-GHG is defined: It is defined as chemistry option 98 (chem\_opt=98) and the package is called GHG\_TRACER.

### $module\_add\_emissions.F$

 $module\_add\_emissions.F$  contains all subroutines for adding the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO calculated per time step to the corresponding atmospheric concentrations (see Table 2.2). Emissions are usually added to the atmospheric concentrations at the first model layer. All subroutines in this module are called from  $module\_greenhouse\_gases.F$ , described in more detail in this section below.

module\_add\_emissions.F consists of the four subroutines co2\_surface\_source\_add, co2\_surface\_source\_ad2, add\_emis\_anthro\_ghg, and add\_emis\_burn\_ghg. All these

| Variable (chem_source) | Flux component               | Time Dimension |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| $CO_2$                 |                              |                |
| fl_bio1                | ext. biospheric              | hourly [30]    |
| fl_oce                 | ext. ocean                   | hourly [30]    |
| fl_ant1                | ext. anthropogenic           | daily [1]      |
| fl_antco2              | ext. anthropogenic           | hourly [30]    |
| fl_bbco2               | ext. biomass burning         | daily [1]      |
| bb_co2                 | 3d int. biomass burning      | WRF timestep   |
| GEE                    | int. Gros-Ecosystem-Exchange | WRF timestep   |
| RESP                   | int. biospheric respiration  | WRF timestep   |
| $co2\_term$            | int. termite emission        | WRF timestep   |
| CH <sub>4</sub>        |                              |                |
| fl_wet                 | ext. wetland                 | hourly [30]    |
| fl_ant4                | ext. anthropogenic           | daily [1]      |
| fl_antch4              | ext. anthropogenic           | hourly [30]    |
| fl_bbch4               | ext. biomass burning         | daily [1]      |
| bb_ch4                 | 3d int. biomass burning      | WRF timestep   |
| fl_term                | ext. termite emission        | daily [1]      |
| fl_soilu               | ext. soil uptake             | hourly [30]    |
| $fl_veg$               | ext. vegetation              | hourly [30]    |
| ch4_emiss              | int. wetland                 | WRF timestep   |
| $ch4\_term$            | int. termite emission        | WRF timestep   |
| ch4_soil               | int. soil uptake             | WRF timestep   |
| ch4_veg                | int. vegetation              | WRF timestep   |
| СО                     |                              |                |
| fl_ant5                | ext. anthropogenic           | daily [1]      |
| fl_antco               | ext. anthropogenic           | hourly [30]    |
| fl_bbco                | ext. biomass burning         | daily [1]      |
| bb_co                  | 3d int. biomass burning      | WRF timestep   |

Table 2.3: Overview over all flux variables used in the WRF-GHG model that are defined in the **registry.ghg** file. "Ext." implies offline/external calculation of fluxes or use of emission inventories and "int." online calculation of fluxes and internal variables. The time step of the external fluxes can be either daily or hourly. For the internal fluxes the time step of calculation depends on the time step of the WRF model.

subroutines follow the same principle in adding fluxes to atmospheric concentrations. The two-dimensional flux variable chem\_source(i,j) (for values see Table 2.3) is multiplied by a conversion factor (conv\_rho(i,k,j)) and added to the first layer of the three-dimensional tracer variable chem(i,k,j) (for values see Table 2.2). If the plumerise mechanism is used, biomass burning emissions are added at all vertical layers. The addition of fluxes to the concentrations is illustrated in the following formulas using the variable names of the WRF-GHG source code:

$$chem(i,1,j) = chem_{-}source(i,j) \left[\frac{kg}{m^2s}\right] \times conv_{-}rho(i,1,j) \left[\frac{sm^2}{kg}\right]$$
(2.1)

7

with

$$conv_{-}rho(i,1,j) = \frac{1}{rho(i,1,j)[\frac{kg}{m^3}]} \times \frac{dt[s]}{dz8(i,1,j)[m]}.$$
 (2.2)

Here rho(i, 1, j) denotes the air density in the first model layer, dt the time step of the model and dz8(i, 1, j) the thickness of the first model layer in [m].

Subroutine co2\_surfac\_source\_add is used to add emissions without any specific features using eq. (2.1) to the first model layer. If biomass burning emissions are added into the first model layer, and additionally a diurnal cycle peaking at 18 UTC (14:00 local time) for biomass burning emissions [Prins et al., 1998] is applied (multiplication factor to emissions) subroutine co2\_surfac\_source\_ad2 needs to be used. If the EDGAR emission inventory is used with an hourly time step containing a diurnal cycle (details in Sec. 2.3.2), but constant for each weekday, the subroutine add\_emis\_anthro\_ghg is applied. This multiplies the emissions with a weekly cycle accounting for less traffic on the weekends compared to weekdays [Alonso et al., 2010] before adding them to the first model layer. The multiplication factors are denoted in the following way:

| Sun  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat  |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 0.67 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.83 |

For three-dimensional addition of biomass burning emissions in connection with the plumerise mechanism, the subroutine  $add_emis_burn_ghg$  is deployed. In this case, a loop over all model levels k with their corresponding air density rho(i, k, j) and layer thickness dz8(i, k, j) is conducted in eqs. (2.1, 2.2) in this routine. The addition of the three-dimensional flux fields to the concentration fields also considers the diurnal cycle for biomass burning emissions.

#### $module_greenhouse_gases.F$

module\_greenhouse\_gases.F is the main module of WRF-GHG. It contains the main subroutine greenhouse\_gases which is called from chem\_driver.F. This subroutine links the emissions to atmospheric concentrations by calling the subroutines in module\_add\_emissions.F. Furthermore the code for all online calculation of fluxes, described in detail in Sec. 2.3, is located here. The different possibilities of offline or online calculation of fluxes (set in namelist.input) and the subroutine for adding emissions to atmospheric concentrations are contained in module\_greenhouse\_gases.F. Here, the different possibilities are described briefly.

- 1. Anthropogenic emissions except for biomass burning  $(CO_2, CH_4, CO)$ In general anthropogenic emissions are taken from an existing external data set and are not calculated online. One can select between inventories at two different time resolutions:
  - Hourly flux inventories
  - Daily flux inventories

For hourly fluxes, the subroutine add\_emis\_anthro\_ghg is used to add the emissions to atmospheric concentrations while for the daily fluxes the subroutine co2\_surface\_source\_add is used.

# 2. Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

- Online calculated fluxes using the VPRM model [Mahadevan et al., 2008]
- Externally calculated hourly biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

For both, subroutine  $co2\_surface\_source\_add$  is used to add fluxes to atmospheric concentrations.

# 3. $CH_4$ wetland emissions

- Online calculation of CH<sub>4</sub> wetland emissions using the wetland inventory of Kaplan [2002]
- Offline calculation of CH<sub>4</sub> wetland emissions using the model of Walter et al. [2001a] driven by WRF meteorology

For both, subroutine  $co2\_surface\_source\_add$  is used to add fluxes to atmospheric concentrations.

# 4. Termite emissions $(CO_2, CH_4)$

Termite emissions are normally calculated online using the model of Sanderson [1996], but an option to use an external dataset exists. Different options for the calculation of termite emissions are possible:

- Online calculation of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> termite emissions
- Online calculation of only CH<sub>4</sub> termite emissions
- Online calculation of only CO<sub>2</sub> termite emissions
- External dataset for termite emissions for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>

For all cases, subroutine co2\_surface\_source\_add is used to add fluxes to atmospheric concentrations.

# 5. Biomass burning emissions (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO)

In general the biomass burning emissions are calculated offline by the biomass burning preprocessor [Freitas et al., 2010] (Sec. 2.3.2). The option of using the plumerise mechanism in WRF-GHG exists (see this section below).

- Plumerise mechanism and a diurnal cycle for the biomass burning emissions are used. Emissions are added in all model layers to atmospheric concentrations (subroutine add\_emis\_burn\_ghg)
- Only biomass burning emissions without plumerise mechanism and diurnal cycle are added to atmospheric concentrations using subroutine co2\_surface\_sou rce\_add

• Biomass burning emissions using the diurnal cycle, but no plumerise mechanism (subroutine co2\_surface\_source\_ad2 used when emissions are added)

# 6. Soil uptake $(CH_4)$

- Online calculation of soil uptake fluxes using the model of Ridgwell et al. [1999]
- External dataset for soil uptake fluxes

For both, subroutine  $co2\_surface\_source\_add$  is used to add fluxes to atmospheric concentrations.

# 7. $CH_4$ emissions from vegetation

- Online calculation of  $CH_4$  emissions from vegetation as suggested by Keppler et al. [2006]
- External data set for  $CH_4$  emissions from vegetation

For both, subroutine co2\_surface\_source\_add is used to add fluxes to atmospheric concentrations.

### $module_plumerise_ghg.F$

If the plumerise option is chosen, a one dimensional model calculates the injection height of the biomass burning plume depending on heat fluxes, temperature and wind speed [Freitas et al., 2006, Grell et al., 2010], and determines which fraction of the biomass burning emissions is released at the injection height and at the ground. The plumerise mechanism is part of the official WRF-Chem release, but in WRF-GHG a new module called module\_plumerise\_ghg.F has been established omitting all species usually used with the WRF-Chem model except for  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO. Basically this plumerise module calls exactly the same subroutines as the plumerise module in the original WRF-Chem code (module\_plumerise1.F) does.

# 2.2 Initial and boundary conditions

Simulations are usually performed for a 30 hour run time period with 6 hour spin up for the meteorology from 18 UTC to 24 UTC of the previous day and a 24 hour simulation period of the "actual" simulation day for the tracer transport.

For the meteorology (including Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and soil initialization fields), initial and boundary conditions are taken from the ECMWF operational archive. These data are 6 hourly analysis data of atmospheric model version 1 on 91 hybrid levels with a horizontal resolution of 0.125°. For the Amazon region, the ECMWF soil moisture is replaced by a TRMM-based soil moisture product (GPNR) as the GPNR soil moisture is

considered to be less wet than the ECMWF soil moisture and therefore, more appropriate for this region (S. R. Freitas, M. M. Bela, personal communication).

As initial and boundary conditions for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO, three-dimensional fields from global transport models using optimized fluxes from inversions are preferred, as they should describe the distribution of the corresponding greenhouse gas tracer in the atmosphere more realistic than e.g. the standard WRF-Chem profiles. Table 2.4 summarizes the characteristics of the global models used for tracer initialization. In particular, these are the TM3 model used for CO<sub>2</sub> [Rödenbeck et al., 2003], the TM5 model for CH<sub>4</sub> [Bergamaschi et al., 2007, 2009] and the MACC/GEMS re-analysis or near-realtime data for CO (see http://data-portal.ecmwf.int/data/d/gems\_reanalysis/levtype=pl/ for re-analysis data and http://data-portal.ecmwf.int/data/d/gems\_nrealtime/levtype=pl/ for the near-realtime product). These global fields are then gridded to the WRF grid using the matlab 3d interpolation routine (see Sec. 4.3 for details) and applied as the initial state of the total CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO concentrations (namely the tracer variables  $CO2_1$ ,  $CH4_1$  and  $COT_1$ ). For the lateral boundary conditions, the values of the lateral boundary are gradually applied over 5 grid cells in a relaxation zone [Ahmadov et al., 2007], while in WRF-Chem only one layer without relaxation is used.

The initial and boundary conditions for tracers are deployed in the following manner: On the first day of the simulation period of e.g.one month the tracer fields  $(CO2_{-1}, CH4_{-1} \text{ and } COT_{-1})$  are initialized **once** with the global fields of that day and time, when the tracer transport starts (can be determined by the variable  $co2_{-st_time}$  in the namelist.input file - see Sec. 4.2). For the other time steps of this simulation day only lateral boundary conditions are applied to force the model in the same way as the meteorological boundary conditions do. Hence, for the second day of the simulation period the application of meteorological and tracer initial and boundary conditions differs for the initial conditions, but not for the lateral boundary conditions. The meteorology is initialized with ECMWF fields every day, while the initialization of the tracer variables is taken from the final output of the WRF-GHG simulation at 24 UTC the previous day to ensure continuity. The motivation for re-initializing the meteorology each day is to have a better data constraint of the WRF meteorological fields. Appendix C depicts an example for a Model Organization Software System to perform automatized WRF-GHG simulations with daily re-initialization.

Which tracers are initialized depends on the region for which the simulations are performed. Since the WRF-Chem code does not allow any tracer with negative concentrations, the advected tracer fields "disappear" in case the concentrations become negative. This can happen even though the positive definite advection scheme is used. It is avoided by initializing all tracer with the global fields or an offset (and also force them with lateral boundary conditions) and later subtract the offset or the global background which can be transported inside the WRF-GHG model as a separate tracer for this purpose. This problem was first discovered for simulations in the Amazon region, and therefore all tracers should be initialized with background or offset for this region. It might also occur in other regions where local sources are very strong and therefore, producing strong gradients.

| Model | Horizontal resolution             | No. of vertical levels | Time interval |
|-------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| TM3   | $\sim 4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ | 19                     | 6 hourly      |
| TM5   | $6^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$      | 25                     | daily         |
| MACC  | $1.125^\circ{\times}1.125^\circ$  | 60                     | 6 hourly      |

Table 2.4: Main characteristics of the global models used as initial and lateral boundary conditions in WRF-GHG.

Thus, for Europe or other mid-latitude regions only the tracer for the total CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO concentration ( $CO2_1, CH4_1$  and  $COT_1$ ) and the tracer for the background concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO ( $CO2_B, CH4_B$  and  $COT_B$ ) are initialized with global fields and are forced on the lateral boundaries. Special care has to be taken for the tracers  $CO2_2$  and  $CH4_6$ -concentrations from VPRM and soil uptake fluxes. Because their corresponding fluxes can become negative, if they are not initialized with the global fields they have to be set to a constant offset (0.0002 for  $CO2_2$  and  $10^{-7}$  for  $CH4_6$ , respectively) to avoid problems in WRF-GHG with negative concentration values. For the analysis of the output fields, this offset is subtracted.

# 2.3 CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO fluxes in WRF-GHG

In this section a short description of the different models and emission inventories used for the calculation of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and CO fluxes, that are then transported inside WRF-GHG, is given. For the flux models that are implemented inside the WRF-GHG code, the description provides more in detail on the implementation of these models and how they had been adapted to match the WRF requirements. An overview of the external data sets that are used within WRF-GHG is found in the second part of this section.

# 2.3.1 Online calculated fluxes

Fluxes calculated online in WRF-GHG are mainly biogenic emissions of the trace gases such as the biogenic  $CO_2$  fluxes using the VPRM model,  $CH_4$  fluxes from wetlands using the wetland inventory from Kaplan [2002], termite emissions of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ , soil uptake of  $CH_4$  and  $CH_4$  emissions from vegetation. All subroutines for the online calculation of fluxes are located in module\_greenhouse\_gases.F inside the WRF-GHG code.

# Biospheric CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes - the VPRM model

The Vegetation Photosythesis and Respiration Model (VPRM) is a diagnostic model that calculates hourly Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE) based on Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite estimates of the Land Surface Water Index (LSWI) and Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), short wave radiation and surface temperature. A detailed description of the VPRM model is found in Mahadevan et al. [2008].



Figure 2.1: Schematic structure of the VPRM model calculating GEE and Respiration based on satellite data and input variables from WRF. The VPRM parameters themselves  $(\lambda, PAR_0, \alpha, \beta)$  are validated against Eddy-flux tower data (adapted from Mahadevan et al. [2008]).

Fig. 2.1 illustrates the basic structure of the VPRM model. LSWI and EVI from MODIS satellite data are included in the variables  $P_{scale}$  to account for the effects of leaf age on photosynthesis and  $W_{scale}$  to account for the effects of water stress. The equation to calculate the Gross Ecosystem Exchange (GEE) in the VPRM model is written as:

$$GEE = \lambda \times T_{scale} \times P_{scale} \times W_{scale} \times EVI \times \frac{1}{1 + \frac{PAR}{PAR_0}} \times PAR$$
(2.3)

where  $\lambda$  is a factor for the maximum quantum yield and  $PAR_0$  is the half-saturation value of the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Both values are adjustable and determined by analysis of data from eddy covariance towers. The parameter  $T_{scale}$  represents the temperature dependence of the photosynthesis, depending on minimum, maximum and optimal temperature  $(T_{min}, T_{max} \text{ and } T_{opt})$  for the photosynthesis process [Raich et al., 1991]. The VPRM model uses 8 different vegetation classes. For each vegetation class, the values of  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{opt}$  are defined in the VPRM subroutine in module greenhouse gases.F as given in Table 2.5.

| Vegetation class | $T_{min}$ [°C] | $T_{max}$ [°C] | $T_{opt}$ [°C] |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Evergreen forest | 0              | 40             | 20             |
| Deciduous forest | 0              | 40             | 20             |
| Mixed forest     | 0              | 40             | 20             |
| Shrubland        | 2              | 40             | 20             |
| Savanna          | 2              | 40             | 20             |
| Cropland         | 5              | 40             | 22             |
| Grassland        | 2              | 40             | 18             |
| Others           | 0              | 40             | 0              |

Table 2.5:  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{opt}$  values for the photosynthesis process as defined in WRF-GHG.

The short wave incoming radiation (SWDOWN) of the WRF model is used as a proxy for the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR).

The respiration process is parameterized as a function of temperature:

$$Resp = \alpha \times T_a + \beta \tag{2.4}$$

with adjustable parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that are determined by comparison to the data from eddy covariance measurements. For the temperature  $T_a$  [°C], the 2m temperature from WRF is used. The Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE) is calculated as the sum of GEE and respiration:

$$NEE = \lambda \times T_{scale} \times P_{scale} \times W_{scale} \times EVI \times \frac{1}{1 + \frac{PAR}{PAR_0}} \times PAR + \alpha \times T_a + \beta \qquad (2.5)$$

In WRF-GHG the VPRM parameters are read in from an external \*.txt file (e.g. VPRM\_param\_CERES2005\_local.txt for Europe) stored in the <WRFV3/run/C02\_input> directory. The name of this file can be specified in the namelist.input file. GEE and respiration (RESP) are defined as global variables and are found as hourly fluxes in the wrfoutput file.

# $CH_4$ fluxes from wetlands (I) - the Kaplan inventory

The wetland inventory developed by Kaplan [Kaplan, 2002, Drevet, 2008] is based on a diagnostic approach to determine  $CH_4$  emissions from wetlands as a percentage of the heterotrophic respiration [Christensen et al., 1996]. The main drivers for calculating the

carbon decomposition rate  $k_r$ , which is a measure of the amount of carbon decomposed in a certain time frame that further determines the amount of heterotrophic respiration, are soil moisture availability and soil temperature, which are both taken from the WRF model. In addition, an external carbon pool (CPOOL - from the LPJ model [Sitch et al., 2003]) representing the substrate availability and a wetland map (WETMAP - Kaplan potential wetland map [Kaplan, 2002, Bergamaschi et al., 2007]) are necessary external input fields for the determination of the wetland fraction per grid cell. The calculation of the carbon decomposition rate  $k_r$  follows Sitch et al. [2003] depending on a soil moisture factor  $f_{SM}$  and a temperature dependence g(T):

$$k_r = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot g(T) \cdot f_{SM}}{12 \cdot 24 \cdot 30}.$$
 (2.6)

with  $\tau_0 = 2.86a$  as a factor accounting for the turnover time of the fast carbon pool. The soil moisture factor  $f_{SM}$  is expressed as:

$$f_{SM} = 0.25 + 0.75 \frac{sm}{sm_{sat}} \tag{2.7}$$

with sm indicating the mean value of the first two soil moisture (SMOIS) layers of the WRF model and  $sm_{sat}$  the saturation value of the soil moisture depending on the soil type. This value was obtained from the SOILPARM.TBL in the <WRFV3/run> directory and then linked to the soil types of the WRF model in the subroutine of the Kaplan wetland inventory. Additionally,  $k_r$  depends on the soil temperature (first layer of the soil temperature (TSLB) is taken) in the following way:

$$g(T) = \exp\left(308.56 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{56.02} - \frac{1}{T + 46.01}\right)\right).$$
(2.8)

In the WRF model, the soil temperature is only defined for land grid points. But as wetland emissions also occur close to rivers and lakes, a wetland fraction might exist for a grid point which is defined as water (and not as land in the WRF land-sea mask). For those grid points, the soil temperature in WRF is not defined and the value of the skin temperature (TSK) is used instead.

The amount of decomposed carbon per second is determined based on exponential decay. Heterotrophic respiration is assumed to be 70% of the decomposed carbon in the fast carbon pool calculated with the help of  $k_r$ . Using different scaling factors depending on the type of the wetland ( $E_f = 0.05$  for peatland and  $M_s = 0.19$  floodplain [Drevet, 2008]), the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is calculated from the derived heterotrophic respiration. If peatland and floodplain coexist (e.g. for global applications), a weighting factor  $P_l$  is introduced that depends on the mean annual temperature  $T_a$  (obtained from an external dataset) [Drevet, 2008]:

$$P_l = \exp\left(\frac{T_a - 303.0}{8}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

The global carbon pool from the LPJ model and the Kaplan potential wetland map are read into the wrfinput file via a matlab script (Sec. 4.3). The calculation itself is performed online and the calculated CH<sub>4</sub> wetland fluxes are stored in the variable  $CH_4$ -EMISS in the wrfoutput file.

#### Termite $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ fluxes

The calculation of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  emissions from termites follows the description of a global data base for termite emissions as described in Sanderson [1996]. The trace gas flux emitted by termites per m<sup>2</sup> is calculated as the product of biomass of termites [g/m<sup>2</sup>] and the flux of trace gas emitted from those termites [g gas/g termite and hour]. The amount of termites per gram of biomass depends on the vegetation type and was determined by measurements in the same way as the trace gas emissions per amount of termites [Sanderson, 1996]. In the database daily constant values of termite emissions are assumed even though there was evidence for a diurnal variation of termite emissions [Sanderson, 1996]. Furthermore, Sanderson [1996] distinguishes between termite emissions in the old world (Europe, Asia, Africa) and the new world (America, Australia). Table 2.6 illustrates the mapping of the vegetation types used by Sanderson [1996] to the WRF vegetation types, which are the basis for calculating the biomass per grid cell and determining the termite flux values.

### Soil uptake CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes

The soil uptake model developed by Ridgwell et al. [1999] is a process-based model to calculate the consumption of atmospheric  $CH_4$  by soils. The  $CH_4$  flux into the soil is calculated by the following formula:

$$J_{CH4} = \frac{C_{0CH4}D_{CH4}}{z_d} \left(1 - \frac{D_{CH4}}{D_{CH4} + k_d z_d}\right) \times F$$
(2.10)

with  $J_{CH4}$  indicating the flux of CH<sub>4</sub> into the soil,  $D_{CH4}$  the diffusivity of the topsoil,  $C_{0CH4}$  the atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> concentration (here the total CH<sub>4</sub> concentration of the WRF-GHG variable  $CH_{4-1}$  is taken),  $z_d$  the soil depth in cm,  $k_d$  the first-order oxidation rate, and F a factor 616.9 mg ppmv<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> CH<sub>4</sub> to account for unit conversions. This equation (based on Fick's law with some modifications to account for changes in microbial oxidation activity) implies that the main part of the oxidation takes place at a soil depth of  $z_d = 6$ cm. The soil diffusivity  $D_{CH4}$  is described by:

| No. | WRF vegetation type (IVGTYP)               | Vegetation type after Sanderson [1996]                         |
|-----|--|--|
| 1   | Urban and built up land                    | -  |
| 2   | Dry cropland and pasture                   | Farmland, settlement   |
| 3   | Irrigated cropland, pasture                | Farmland, settlement   |
| 4   | Mixed dryland, irrigated cropland, pasture | Patchy wood, crop/grass  |
| 5   | Cropland/grassland mosaic                  | Patchy wood, crop/grass  |
| 6   | Cropland/woodland mosaic                   | Patchy wood, crop/grass  |
| 7   | Grassland                                  | Temperate grassland  |
| 8   | Shrubland                                  | Tree, shrub  |
| 9   | Mixed grassland/shrubland                  | Tree, shrub  |
| 10  | Savanna                                    | Savannyh/grassland - arid                                      |
| 11  | Deciduous broadleaf forest                 | Temperate forest   |
| 12  | Deciduous needleleaf forest                | Temperate forest   |
| 13  | Evergreen broadleaf forest                 | Tropical rainforest, raingreen forest, tropical montane forest |
| 14  | Evergreen needleleaf forest                | Raingreen forest   |
| 15  | Mixed forest                               | Temperate forest   |
| 16  | Water bodies                               | -  |
| 17  | Herbaceous wetland                         | -  |
| 18  | Wooden wetland                             | -  |
| 19  | Barren/sparsely vegetated                  | dry highland/shrub   |
| 20  | Herbaceous tundra                          | -  |
| 21  | Wooded tundra                              | -  |
| 22  | Mixed tundra                               | -  |
| 23  | Bare ground tundra                         | -  |
| 24  | Snow or ice                                | -  |

Table 2.6: Illustration on how vegetation types defined by Sanderson [1996] are assigned to WRF vegetation types for the quantification of termite fluxes.

$$D_{CH4} = G_{soil} \times G_T \times D_{0CH4} \tag{2.11}$$

where  $D_{0CH4}$  is the diffusivity of CH<sub>4</sub> in free air and  $G_{soil}$  and  $G_T$  are factors that account for the effects of the soil structure and soil temperature, respectively. The variable  $G_{soil}$  is expressed as:

$$G_{soil} = \Phi^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Phi}\right)^{1.5 + \frac{3}{b}}.$$
(2.12)

 $\Phi$  indicates the total pore volume and  $\epsilon$  the air-filled porosity which are both taken according to the soil type (ISLTYP) from the WRF parameter soil table SOILPARM.TBL. In addition, the sand content ( $I_{sand}$ ) of each soil type is taken from this table. The clay content ( $I_{clay}$ ) of each soil type is determined after Cosby et al. [1984]. From these values, the parameter b can be calculated:

$$b = -3.140 - 0.00222 \cdot I_{clay}^2 - 3.484 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot I_{sand}^2 \cdot I_{clay}.$$
 (2.13)

The dependence on the soil temperature is expressed with the following equation:

$$G(T) = 1.0 + 0.0055 \cdot T \tag{2.14}$$

17

with the soil temperature in °C being the WRF soil temperature of the second soil layer. The CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rate  $k_d$  consists of factors for three different influences: the cultivation influence  $(r_N)$ , the temperature influence  $(r_T)$  and the soil moisture influence  $(r_{SM})$  and is defined as following:

$$k_d = r_N \times r_T \times r_{SM} \times k_0 \tag{2.15}$$

with  $k_0$  being the base oxidation rate, constant for uncultivated soil at 0°C. As soil uptake is reduced due to agricultural activity and use of fertilizers, the cultivation factor  $r_N$  takes into account the cultivation activity of the vegetation type:

$$r_N = 1.0 - (0.75 \times I_{cult}) \tag{2.16}$$

where  $I_{cult}$  is a measure of the cultivation activity of the vegetation type. Using the WRF vegetation types (cf. Table 2.6),  $I_{cult}$  is set to 1 for vegetation types  $\leq 5$ , to 0.5 for vegetation type = 6 and to 0 for all other vegetation types. The dependence of the oxidation rate on temperature is based on a  $Q_{10}$  approach:

$$r_T = \exp\left(0.0693 \cdot T - 8.56 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot T^4\right) \tag{2.17}$$

for temperature  $>0^{\circ}$ C and a value of 0 for temperatures  $<0^{\circ}$ C. The soil moisture effect on the oxidation activity is set to 1.0 if the sum of precipitation and soil moisture divided by potential evaporation is greater than 1.0 or the sum of precipitation and soil moisture divided by potential evaporation itself in case the calculated factor is smaller than 1.0.

The following variables from the WRF model are used to drive the soil uptake model: Soil moisture (SMOIS), soil type (ISLTYP) and soil parameters (SOILPARM.TBL), total CH<sub>4</sub> atmospheric concentration (CH4 $_1$ ), precipitation (RAINC + RAINNC), potential evaporation (POTEVP), landuse (LANDUSE), soil temperature (TSLB) and the wetland map (WETMAP) to exclude soil uptake for grid cells that are mainly covered by wetland area.

#### $\mathbf{CH}_4$ flux from vegetation

In 2006 Keppler et al. [2006] published their findings on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from plants. The discussion about CH<sub>4</sub> emission from vegetation is still controversial [Ferretti et al., 2007, Dueck et al., 2007, Beerling et al., 2008, Houweling et al., 2006]. Thus in WRF-GHG a CH<sub>4</sub> vegetation source has been implemented for hypothesis testing. The emissions for day and night (emiss\_day =  $374 \cdot 10^{-9} \frac{kgCH_4}{kgbiomass/hr}$ , emiss\_night =  $119 \cdot 10^{-9} \frac{kgCH_4}{kgbiomass/hr}$ )

are scaled with the values of GEE and RESP from the online calculation of the VPRM model:

$$Vegetation_{CH4} = -2.0 \cdot emiss\_day \cdot GEE \tag{2.18}$$

for daytime emissions (i.e. when the incoming shortwave radiation (SWDOWN) exceeds a threshold value of 50.0) and nighttime emissions of

$$Vegetation_{CH4} = 2.0 \cdot emiss\_night \cdot RESP$$
(2.19)

The factor of two accounts for the conversion of GEE and RESP to plant biomass. For agricultural WRF vegetation types (1-6 see Table 2.6), the emissions are assumed to be 88.5% of the emissions for vegetation types with no agricultural activity [Keppler et al., 2006].

# 2.3.2 External flux data sets

External data sets are read into the wrfinput file and are transported within WRF-GHG. All external data have to be gridded to the WRF grid, e.g. by using NCL tools (function rgrid2rcm) or an R script, before they are read into the wrfinput file via a matlab script (see Sec. 4.3). For anthropogenic emissions, the inventories of EDGAR and IER have been used so far. Biomass burning emissions are calculated with the biomass burning preprocessor  $Prep\_chem\_sources$  (more details in Sec. 3.2) and external wetland fluxes are calculated by the Walter wetland model driven by WRF meteorology. Ocean fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> can also be transported inside WRF-GHG.

## Biomass burning emissions for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO

Biomass burning emissions are calculated as daily emissions based on satellite fire spots by a WRF-Chem preprocessor ( $Prep\_chem\_sources$ ) developed by Karla Longo and Saulo Freitas. A complete description of this preprocessor can be found in Freitas et al. [2006], Longo et al. [2007], Freitas et al. [2010]. Here, a brief description of the theory how the biomass burning fluxes are calculated is given, while Sec. 3.2 deals more with the technical issues of running the  $Prep\_chem\_sources$  preprocessor.

The satellite fire database uses three different satellites:

• GOES WF\_ABBA (Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite - Wildfire Automated Biomass Burning Algorithm)



Figure 2.2: Schematic structure of all input parameters for the biomass burning preprocessor (from Longo et al. [2007]).

- Brazilian National Institute for Space Research fire product based on AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) onboard the NOAA polar orbiting satellite series
- MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiameter) fire product

A filter is applied to avoid double counting of fire pixels. For each fire pixel that is detected, the mass of emitted tracers is calculated using the following equation:

$$M^{[\eta]} = \alpha_{veg} \cdot \beta_{veg} \cdot EF^{[\eta]}_{veg} \cdot a_{fire} \tag{2.20}$$

with  $M^{[\eta]}$  being the emitted mass of the tracer  $\eta$ .  $\alpha_{veg}$  describes the above ground biomass available for burning,  $\beta_{veg}$  the combustion factor after Ward et al. [1992],  $EF_{veg}^{[\eta]}$ the emission factor for each species  $\eta$  after Andreae and Merlet [2001] and  $a_{fire}$  the area of the fire. The GOES WF\_ABBA satellite retrievals also give an estimate of the burnt area of each fire. In case there are no estimates of the firesize available from the satellite observations, a mean fire size of 0.14 km<sup>2</sup> for the GOES WF\_ABBA detected fires and 0.22 km<sup>2</sup> for the MODIS and AVHRR detected fires is assumed [Longo et al., 2007].

The fire detection maps are then merged with 1 km landuse maps and the carbon live vegetation dataset (Fig. 2.2) and multiplied by the emission factors of Andreae and Merlet [2001] and the combustion factor for the different vegetation types. The output emissions

are in units of  $[kg/m^2 day]$ . These emissions can be combined with a diurnal cycle for biomass burning [Prins et al., 1998] in WRF-GHG as described in Sec. 2.1.3. Additional variables for using the plumerise mechanism in WRF-GHG (Sec. 2.1.3), such as the mean firesize, etc. are also available as output from this preprocessor.

# Anthropogenic emissions for $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $CO_2$

For anthropogenic emissions (except for biomass burning emissions), WRF-GHG has been tested with EDGAR (Amazon) and IER (Europe) emission inventories.

The EDGAR V4.1 emission inventory (available online via http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa .eu) is on a  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  resolution and globally available for greenhouse gases such as CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> and other trace gases, e.g.CO, on a yearly basis up to 2005. All IPCC categories can be downloaded from the webpage and have to be summed up to get the final emissions. The emissions are gridded on the WRF grid using the biomass burning preprocessor *Prep\_chem\_sources* (see previous Section and Sec. 3.2). Additionally, an update for major cities in South America has been added to the preprocessor including a scaling of the emissions with the number of vehicles in larger cities and a diurnal cycle for the EDGAR emissions depending on the traffic flow. This work was done by Alonso et al. [2010]. A weekly cycle for the EDGAR dataset can be applied by using the subroutine  $add_emis_anthro_ghg$  for adding the emissions to the concentrations as described in Sec. 2.1.3.

For  $CO_2$  fossil fuel emissions over Europe, the IER (Institute of Economics and the Rational Use of Energy, University of Stuttgart) dataset on 10 km horizontal resolution can be used (available on request via http://carboeurope.ier.uni-stuttgart.de). This dataset contains hourly emissions of greenhouse gases for the year 2000. To apply the dataset to other years, a shift in the weekdays was taken into account [Ahmadov et al., 2007, Pillai et al., 2010]. The code for preprocessing the IER data can be requested via email from Roberto Kretschmer (rkretsch@bgc-jena.mpg.de).

# CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from wetlands (II) - the Walter model

The Walter wetland model [Walter et al., 1996, Walter and Heimann, 2000, Walter et al., 2001a,b] is a process-based model to calculate  $CH_4$  emissions from wetlands. The most important factors influencing  $CH_4$  wetland emissions are taken into account:

- Position of the water table to separate between an aerobic production of  $CH_4$  and aerobic conditions for oxidation of  $CH_4$
- Soil temperature to control the rate of methanogenesis
- Transport of  $CH_4$  to the atmosphere through the different mechanisms of plant mediated transport, ebullition and diffusion

The one-dimensional model itself consists of 170 layers with a thickness of 1 cm and the change of  $CH_4$  concentration ( $C_{CH4}$ ) with time at each soil layer (z) is expressed through the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{CH4}(t,z) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}F_{diff}(t,z) + Q_{ebull}(t,z) + Q_{plant}(t,z) + R_{prod}(t,z) + R_{oxid}(t,z)$$
(2.21)

where the main terms are defined as follows:

•  $CH_4$  diffusion flux  $F_{diff}$  described through the equation below is based on Fick's law and depends the diffusion coefficient of  $CH_4$  ( $D_{CH4}$ ) and the  $CH_4$  concentration gradient at depth z.

$$F_{diff}(t,z) = -D_{CH4}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}C_{CH4}(t,z)$$
(2.22)

- $CH_4$  ebullition flux rate  $Q_{ebull}$  is > 0 if the CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in a soil layer exceeds a certain threshold value  $C_{thresh}$ . Then 70% of the CH<sub>4</sub> released in bubbles is transported to the layer at the height of the water table and the other 30% is trapped in the upper part of the water saturated layers.
- The plant-mediated transport rate  $(Q_{plant})$  tells how much CH<sub>4</sub> is transported to the atmosphere through plants and depends on the efficiency of plant-mediated transport (IT-VEG), the growing state of the plants, the vertical distribution of the roots in the soil, and the CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in the corresponding soil layer.
- The  $CH_4$  production rate  $(R_{prod})$  depends on the substrate availability with depth, the amount of substrate itself (NPP), and the soil temperature.
- The  $CH_4$  oxidation rate  $(R_{oxid})$  follows the Michaelis-Menten kinetics with a  $Q_{10}$  soil temperature dependence.

The position of the water table as separator between the soil layers below the water table where anaerobic microbial production of  $CH_4$  takes place and the soil layers above the water table is calculated from an adjoint hydrological model where the soil of each grid point is considered as a bucket of 1.7 m depth (a complete description of the hydrological model is to calculate fluctuations of the water table as a functions of climatological parameters (precipitation, 2 m air temperature, surface solar radiation). Evapotranspiration, lateral inflow and runoff are calculated within the hydrological model to determine the position of the water table which serves as an input for the Walter wetland model.

The Walter wetland model is described in detail in Walter et al. [1996], Walter and Heimann [2000], but Fig. 2.3 gives a quick overview over the structure of the model. The



Figure 2.3: Schematic representation illustrating the structure of the Walter wetland model with the position of the water table, soil temperature, and NPP as input of the model. The CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation rates that are calculated at each soil layer and the three different processes (diffusion, ebullition and plant-mediated transport) are responsible for the transport of the CH<sub>4</sub> to the atmosphere (from Walter and Heimann [2000]).

emissions are calculated at each wetland grid point separately and then multiplied with the fractional coverage of wetland area in that grid cell. This information is obtained from a wetland map. In this case we used the Kaplan potential wetland map that was already described in Sec. 2.3.1.

Up to now an online calculation of  $CH_4$  wetland fluxes using the Walter model is not implemented, but the offline version of the model is driven by WRF meteorological output fields (Precipitation (RAINC+RAINNC), soil temperature (TSLB), radiation and heat fluxes (SWDOWN,GLW) and surface temperature (T2)). Additionally, soil and vegetation properties such as root and soil depth used in the Walter wetland model [Walter et al., 2001a] have been derived from the WRF vegetation and soil types as shown in Table 2.7.

Other necessary input fields besides the wetland map are daily net primary productivity (NPP) values, mean annual soil temperature, and an elevation map. These maps (except for the wetland map) are gridded from the Walter et al. [2001a] version to the WRF model grid and missing values are filled in as described in Sec. 3.3.

|               |                  |        |            |            |           |                                       |                                       |      | _ |
|---------------|------------------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|---|
| WRF veg. type | Walter veg. type | IT-VEG | nroot [cm] | nsoil [cm] | ibare [%] | inppm $\left[\frac{gC}{m^2yr}\right]$ | inppt $\left[\frac{gC}{m^2yr}\right]$ | rpv  |   |
| 1             | 0                | 0.0    | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0    | 1 |
| 2             | 0                | 0.0    | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0    |   |
| 3             | 0                | 0.0    | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0    |   |
| 4             | 0                | 0.0    | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0    |   |
| 5             | 1/3 (50%)        | 5.0    | 39.23      | 79         | 20        | 120                                   | 701                                   | 0.34 |   |
| 6             | 1/3 (50%)        | 0.5    | 64.41      | 129        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 7             | 4                | 15.0   | 81.07      | 162        | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 8             | 2                | 0.0    | 62.86      | 126        | 20        | 120                                   | 701                                   | 0.34 |   |
| 9             | 2/4 (50%)        | 7.5    | 71.96      | 144        | 9         | 124                                   | 931                                   | 0.22 |   |
| 10            | 3                | 10.0   | 39.23      | 79         | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 11            | 1                | 1.0    | 66.56      | 129        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 12            | 1                | 1.0    | 98.95      | 129        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 13            | 1                | 1.0    | 57.88      | 129        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 14            | 1                | 1.0    | 59.43      | 129        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 15            | 1                | 1.0    | 64.41      | 126        | 5         | 110                                   | 1141                                  | 0.29 |   |
| 16            | 6                | 15.0   | 39.23      | 79         | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 17            | 6                | 15.0   | 39.23      | 79         | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 18            | 1/6~(50%)        | 7.0    | 51.82      | 104        | 9         | 124                                   | 931                                   | 0.22 |   |
| 19            | 2                | 0.0    | 62.86      | 126        | 20        | 120                                   | 701                                   | 0.34 |   |
| 20            | 5                | 10.0   | 25.60      | 51         | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 21            | 1/5 (50%)        | 5.0    | 45.00      | 89         | 20        | 120                                   | 701                                   | 0.34 |   |
| 22            | 1/5 (50%)        | 5.0    | 45.00      | 89         | 20        | 120                                   | 701                                   | 0.34 |   |
| 23            | 5                | 10.0   | 25.60      | 51         | 5         | 100                                   | 829                                   | 0.19 |   |
| 24            | 0                | 0.0    | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                                     | 0                                     | 0    |   |

Table 2.7: Parameter table to match the vegetation types defined by Walter et al. [2001a] and the WRF vegetation types and assigned parameters for efficiency of plant-mediated transport (IT-VEG = 0 no plant mediated transport =15 maximum efficiency for plant mediated transport), rooting depth (*nroot*), soil depth (*nsoil*), fraction of bare soil (*ibare*), maximum monthly NPP (*inppm*), total annual NPP (*inppt*) and relative pore space of coarse pores (*rpv*, between 0 and 1). Most of the parameter values are derived from the parameter table in Walter et al. [2001a]. The Walter vegetation types with a 50% indication are the average of two different vegetation types. The rooting depth was calculated after the corresponding formula in Walter et al. [2001a].

# Ocean $CO_2$ fluxes

Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> can be obtained on a  $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  grid from Takahashi et al. [2009].

# Chapter 3

# **WRF-GHG** Preprocessors

For preprocessing the flux fields or other parameter fields that are necessary for the online calculation of fluxes in WRF-GHG, two main preprocessors are used for WRF-GHG, the VPRM-Preprocessor, to obtain EVI, LSWI and vegetation fraction from MODIS satellite data, and the biomass burning preprocessor  $Prep\_chem\_sources$ , to calculate biomass burning emissions and grid anthropogenic emissions. Both a brief theoretical description of the preprocessors and technical instructions on how to install and run the preprocessor are given in the next two sections. The last section of this chapter explains how to run the Walter wetland model to generate  $CH_4$  emissions from wetlands using the WRF meteorology to drive the model.

# 3.1 VPRM-Preprocessor

# 3.1.1 Description of the VPRM-Preprocessor

Two versions of the VPRM-Preprocessor are available, one producing output that can be used with WRF-GHG and one for the STILT-VPRM modeling system. Both versions are organized in two branches within the same SVN repository. The source code can be downloaded from http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/~rkretsch/vprmpreproc or contact rkretsch@bgc-jena.mpg.de to obtain the code.

The VPRM-Preprocessor was written in the R scripting language and runs under the Linux/Unix operation system. Some additional libraries and tools need to be installed before the VPRM preprocessor can be used.

- Subversion client (SVN) version control system
- R scripting language (obtained from http://cran.r-project.org)

- HDF5 tools (obtained from http://www.hdfgroup.org/HDF5)
- H4toH5 conversation tool (obtained from http://www.hdfgroup.org/h4toh5/)
- MODIS Land Data Operational Product Evaluation (LDOPE) tool (obtained from http://gcmd.nasa.gov/records/LDOPE.html)
- MODIS Reprojection Tool (MRT) (obtained from https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/ lpdaac/tools/modis\_reprojection\_tool)
- NETCDF libraries and tools (obtained from http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/ software/netcdf)

The VPRM-Preprocessor uses the Terra MODIS satellite level-3 land product (MOD 09A1 Surface Reflectance 8-Day L3, Global 500 m SIN Grid). The MODIS Surface Reflectance products provide an estimate of the surface spectral reflectance as it would be measured at ground level in the absence of atmospheric scattering or absorption. This MODIS-derived 8-day surface reflectance data product at 500 m resolution can be obtained as tile granules by using the web-service client WIST (Warehouse Inventory Search Tool) from the website http://wist.echo.nasa.gov after a free registration. The input data for the VPRM-Preprocessor are stored in the HDF-EOS (Hierachical Data Format Earth Observing System) file format. The MOD09A1 tiles for a given domain and yearly period are loaded into the VPRM-Preprocessor while running the program. Additionally, a pixel wise quality assessment and the EVI and LSWI calculation are performed with a data aggregation from 500 m resolution to 1 km resolution by a nearest-neighbor resampling process.

# 3.1.2 Installation, Configuration and usage of the VPRM-Preprocessor

After copying the VPRM-Preprocessor source code from the subversion repository, the following steps are needed to install and run the preprocessor:

- 1. Extracting the source code from the archive
- 2. Patching of the LDOPE tools

Some modifications to math\_sds.c and cp\_proj\_param.c are necessary. Patch files are included in the distribution of this preprocessor and can be found in the root directory of the preprocessor (<PATH\_TO\_PREPROCESSOR>) as the files cp\_proj\_param. patch and math\_sds.patch. The patches have to be applied and afterwards the LDOPE tools have to be recompiled. Patches and recompiled LDOPE binaries can also be downloaded from http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/~rkretsch/vprmpreproc.

3. Configuration of the preprocessor by editing the config.r file

# 4. Preparation of the SYNMAP vegetation map

Vegetation information is obtained from the SYNMAP by Jung et al. [2006] provided for the whole globe at 1 km horizontal resolution. Smaller domain areas (Europe, North America) are available from http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/~rkretsch/vprmpre proc. A SYNMAP file of one's own can be created by executing the shell script get\_synmap.sh from <PATH\_TO\_PREPROCESSOR>. This shell script uses the gridEur ope.r file from <PATH\_TO\_PREPROCESSOR>/RSources and can be configured for each domain.

After installation of the VPRM-Preprocessor, the main directory structure (<PATH\_TO\_PREPROCESSOR>) consists of the following subdirectories and files:

| <rsources></rsources>                       |   |
|---|---|
| EVI.sh                                      |   |
| LSWI.sh                                     |   |
| $\texttt{get}\_\texttt{synmap}.\texttt{sh}$ |   |
| preprocess.sh                               |   |
| ${\tt submit_loess.sh}$                     |   |
| clean.sh                                    |   |
| clean_all.sh                                |   |
| manual.odt                                  | short description in open office format         |
| ${\tt apply\_mapping.c}$                    |   |
| ${\tt check_output.sh}$                     |   |
| ${\tt apply\_mapping\_synmap.c}$            |   |
| ${\tt submit\_regrid.sh}$                   |   |
| $lsf\_getmapping.r$                         |   |
| $lsf_regrid.r$                              |   |
| ${\tt check_output.r}$                      |   |
| compile.sh                                  |   |
| tiles.txt                                   | will be created in VPRM preprocessor runs       |
| ${\tt cp\_proj\_param.patch}$               | patched LDOPE tool                              |
| ${\tt math\_sds.patch}$                     | patched LDOPE tool                              |
| ${\tt submit\_preproc.sh}$                  | shell script for starting the VPRM-Preprocessor |
| VPRMpreproc.r                               | main program of the VPRM-Preprocessor           |
| config.r                                    | configuration file of the VPRM-Preprocessor     |
| ${\tt process\_loess.r}$                    |   |
| lsf_run.log                                 | log file of the VPRM-Preprocessor run           |
| manual.pdf                                  | short description of the VPRM-Preprocessor      |

The R-script VPRMpreproc.r is the main program of the VPRM-Preprocessor and is executed by using the submit\_preproc.sh shell script. The final output of the VPRM-Preprocessor is written as NetCDF files in the output directory, which can be set in the config.r file. All the configuration that is needed to run the VPRM-Preprocessor can be done by editing the configuration file config.r file in the VPRM-Preprocessor path (<PATH\_TO\_PREPROCESSOR>). The following options can be set in the config.r file:

| mpath       | Working directory, must have write permission                         |
|-------------|---|
| rdatain     | Path where input R data files are stored (SYNMAP vegetation           |
|             | map is located here)  |
| rdataout    | Path where intermediate R data files will be saved                    |
| outdir      | Path where the final preprocessor output files are stored in          |
|             | NetCDF format   |
| modisrep    | Path where the MOD09A1 HDF-EOS tiles are stored                       |
| modis_ver   | Version of the MOD09A1 land product - currently 005                   |
| mrt         | Path where the MODIS Reprojection Tool (MRT) is stored                |
| ldope       | Path where MODIS Land Data Operational Product Evaluation             |
|             | (LDOPE) tool is saved   |
| domainname  | Name of your domain, which also affects the naming of the output      |
|             | subdirectory  |
| year        | Year to process   |
| synmaptouse | Name of the SYNMAP vegetation map file. (You may create               |
|             | a SYNMAP file which covers your domain using                          |
|             | <path_to_preprocessor>/Rsources/gridEurope.r)</path_to_preprocessor>  |
| do_parallel | Logical flag which supports parallel preprocessing                    |
| indices     | R vector of data types to be preprocessed (normally "evi" and "lswi") |
| nests       | Number of nests in your WRF-GHG run                                   |
| wrfinp      | Path to the WRF input files for obtaining the definition              |
|             | of domain and grid  |

The variables in the config.r configuration file specifying the domain settings (ll.lon, ll.lat,nx,ny,dxx,dxy) are obtained from the wrfinput file.

After the preprocessing has finished, the final output files will be stored in the defined output directory in the following seven NetCDF-files, which contain yearly data:

- $1. \ VPRM\_input\_VEF\_FRA\_yyyy.nc$
- 2. VPRM\_input\_EVI\_yyyy.nc
- 3. VPRM\_input\_EVI\_MIN\_yyyy.nc
- 4. VPRM\_input\_EVI\_MAX\_yyyy.nc
- 5.  $VPRM\_input\_LSWI\_yyyy.nc$
- 6. VPRM\_input\_LSWI\_MIN\_yyyy.nc
- 7. VPRM\_input\_LSWI\_MAX\_yyyy.nc
## 3.2 Biomass burning preprocessor: Prep\_chem\_sources

An explanation of the basic theoretical principles of the biomass burning preprocessor to calculate the biomass burning emissions is given in Sec. 2.3.2. Here, the description focuses more on the structure behind this preprocessor. A more technical description of this preprocessor can be found in Appendix B of the WRF-Chem user guide [Peckham et al., 2009].

## 3.2.1 Description of the preprocessor

This preprocessor was originally built for the Coupled Chemistry, Aerosol and Tracer Transport model to the Brazilian developments on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (CCATT-BRAMS) [Longo et al., 2007, Freitas et al., 2009] run at the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE) in Brazil and is now part of the WRF-Chem model when using the chemistry options 301 and 5 [Peckham et al., 2009]. In general, this preprocessor calculates biomass burning emissions derived from satellite fire spots for various species in [kg/m<sup>2</sup> day] on almost any user-defined grid. Additionally, necessary output for running the plumerise module (firesize, etc.) inside the WRF-GHG or the WRF-Chem model is provided. Furthermore, the preprocessor can be used for regridding of anthropogenic (EDGAR, RETRO, GOCART), biogenic and biomass burning (GFEDV2) emissions. The original code was obtained from National Institute for Space Research (INPE) in São José dos Campos, Brazil and augmented for NetCDF output. The routines dealing with the EDGAR emission database were also upgraded to be able to handle the EDGARV4.1 emission inventory. In general, the units of the output fields are [kg/m<sup>2</sup> day]. In case of using diurnal cycle routines, the units are [kg/m<sup>2</sup> s].

## 3.2.2 Running the preprocessor

A manual explaining how to run the  $Prep\_chem\_sources$  preprocessor is found in the WRF-Chem user guide Appendix B [Peckham et al., 2009]. Here, the main purpose is to describe how to run the preprocessor in order to obtain biomass burning emissions for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO and EDGAR gridded output fields containing a diurnal cycle for the fossil fuel emissions. The code can be obtained on request via email to karla.longo@dge.inpe.br and saulo.freitas@cptec.inpe.br.

### Compilation of the preprocessor

Before compiling in the preprocessor by typing the make command in the directory, one must ensure that all \*.mod and \*.o files as well as prep\_chem\_sources.a are deleted and that the correct compiler option is set in include\_prep\_chem\_src.mk. If you have problems you can contact Thomas Koch (tkoch@bgc-jena.mpg.de). For very spe-

cific and detailed questions regarding the code of the biomass burning preprocessor contact the developers of the code, Karla Longo (karla.longo@dge.inpe.br) and Saulo Freitas (saulo.freitas@cptec.inpe.br).

#### Edit the prep\_chem\_sources.inp file

The "namelist" file of the preprocessor is called prep\_chem\_sources.inp and it is found in the /bin directory. The items described below are the main settings for producing WRF-GHG compatible output. The WRF grid projection has to be selected and the details regarding the projection that were set, e.g. in the WPS namelist, also have to be set here. It is recommended to not calculate the emissions for several nests in one single run, but rather run the preprocessor separately for each grid. Emission datasets that should not be used by the preprocessor will be noted with a 0 in the namelist.

| Variable                     | Value                           | Description  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| gridtype of grid output      |                                 |  |
| grid_type                    | polar<br>lambert<br>gg<br>polar | polar projection used in WRF<br>lcc projection used in WRF<br>gaussian grid projection<br>lat/lon projection |
| date of emission             | F                               |  |
| ihour                        | 0                               | will be set in work.sh file  |
| iday                         | 14                              | will be set in work.sh file  |
| imon                         | 7                               | will be set in work.sh file  |
| iyear                        | 2008                            | will be set in work.sh file  |
| selection of source dataset  |                                 |  |
| use_edgar                    | 1                               | EDGAR emissions used   |
| use_bbm                      | 1                               | produce biomass burning emissions  |
| ${\tt use\_bbem\_plumerise}$ | 1                               | output for plumerise module in WRF   |
| <u>directories to be set</u> |                                 |  |
| bbem_wfabba_data_dir         | /                               | directory of WF_ABBA satellite data  |
| bbem_modis_data_dir          | /                               | directory of modis satellite data  |
| bbem_inpe_data_dir           | /                               | directory of INPE satellite data   |
| ${\tt veg\_type\_data\_dir}$ | /                               | directory of vegetation type data  |
| $carbon\_density\_data\_dir$ | /                               | directory of carbon density data   |
| regional grids               |                                 |  |
| NGRIDS                       | 1                               | number of grids (keep it to $1$ )  |
| NNXP                         | 200,                            | number of x grid points  |
| NNYP                         | 220,                            | number of y grid points  |
| DELTAX                       | 30000.                          | X grid spacing in [m]  |
| DELTAY                       | 30000.                          | Y grid spacing in [m]  |
| POLELAT                      | 45.                             | For polar projection   |
| POLELON                      | -115.                           | For polar projection   |
| STDLAT1                      | 45.                             | For lcc projection   |

| STDLAT2 | 35.   | For lcc projection |
|---------|-------|--------------------|
| CENTLAT | 45.   | For lcc projection |
| CENTLON | -115. | For lcc projection |

#### Run the preprocessor

To run the preprocessor, a shell script has been written (work.sh) that allows the preprocessor to be run either for many days (e.g. two months) or for one single day with hourly timestep to obtain a diurnal cycle for anthropogenic emissions. The runtime variables (ihour, iday, imon, iyear) are specified in the work.sh file. For a single day run (e.g. for calculating the diurnal cycle of the EDGAR emissions) ihour is set from 0 to 25. If biomass burning emissions are produced, ihour is kept constant and iday varies from 1 to 31 for a specific month. The following input variables for WRF-GHG are stored in NetCDF format: biomass burning emissions of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and CO, plumerise module output for these species and EDGAR emissions for  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and CO. Furthermore, GRADs and binary output is produced for all species needed for the WRF-Chem chemistry options 5 and 301 (for more detail see Peckham et al. [2009]).

#### Main routines relevant for WRF-GHG

Here is a short description of the routines most relevant to the production of WRF-GHG input:

• prep\_chem\_sources.f90

Main routine of the preprocessor. The subroutine calls for all emission datasets to be read in and gridded and the calls for the calculation of the biomass burning emissions are located here. Netcdf output was included in this routine for the biomass burning and EDGAR emissions and the plumerise module (marked with "(TK)"). In this routine the diurnal cycle for the EDGAR emissions can be turned off by uncommenting the subroutine call diurnal\_cycle\_edgar.

• 3bem\_emissions.f90

Routine where the biomass burning emissions are calculated.

```
• 3bem_plumerise.f90
```

Routine to calculate the output for the plumerise module.

• edgar\_emissions.f90

Here changes have been made for an upgrade to version 4.1 of the EDGAR emission inventory (marked with either "(TK)" or "(VB)"). In particular, the prefix of the name of the EDGAR data files has to be specified in that routine. The extrapolation of the fossil fuel emissions with vehicle data for South America (extrapoly\_update) can be turned off here.

## 3.3 Walter wetland model

A relatively short description of the different steps that are needed to calculate  $CH_4$  wetland fluxes using the Walter wetland model driven by WRF meteorological output fields is given here. The code of the Walter wetland model was adapted to fortran90 and partially restructured (*there is room for improvement in the future*). The theoretical background the Walter wetland model has already been described in Sec. 2.3.2. The order in which the single programs need to be run is described in the following (all fortran programs are easily compiled using e.g. the command pgf90 -o a.out program.f90 if not specified otherwise) for the pgi fortran compiler:

1. Meteorological output from WRF

Meteorological variables of the WRF netcdf output file have to be extracted at each wetland grid point as hourly values. The following variables are extracted from the WRF output field and written in \*.txt format that is can be read by the Walter model: TSLB (pTDs.dat, pTD3s.dat, PTD4s.dat, PTD5s.dat), T2 (temperature2.dat), XLONG (trans.matrix), XLAT (trans.matrix), SWDOWN (swdown2.dat), WET

MAP (tot\_frin\_new.dat), GLW (glw2.dat), RAINC (tot\_precip.dat), RAINNC (tot\_precip.dat), IVGTYP (DATAin\_tot.dat), ISLTYP (DATAin\_tot.dat) and TSK (pTDs.dat, pTD3s.dat, PTD4s.dat, PTD5s.dat). The program wrfout\_d02 \_May\_09.f90 is used for this extraction and calculation.

2. <u>Static fields</u>

All the static fields which were originally available on a  $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$  grid for all wetland points of the global wetland map of Matthews and Fung [1987] have to be gridded to the WRF projection and missing values need to be filled in. This has to be done only once as these are static non-changing fields. In case of using a new wetland map, everything described under this point has to be re-preprocessed.

- From the WRF model grid the latitudes and longitude values of each wetland grid point and the percentage of wetland coverage for each grid cell need to be extracted (the programm wrfout\_data\_matrix\_d02.f90 is used for that). This information will be stored in the trans.matrix file which later will be used to retransform the wetland emissions calculated for each wetland grid point to a two-dimensional field and in the tot\_frin\_new.dat file. The DATAin\_tot.dat file contains the information about the values of the parameters described in Table 2.7 for each grid point that depends on the WRF vegetation and soil type.
- Three external fields (mean annual soil temperature, annual NPP and the Laplace operator of the elevation) have to be gridded from the 1.0° × 1.0° wetland points to the WRF wetland points. This is done by several small R programs (regrid\_dtmean.r, regrid\_elevation.r, regrid\_npp.r). For the elevation a constant value of 750 is filled in for the missing values, the mean

annual soil temperature is set to a constant value of  $27^{\circ}$  e.g. for the Amazon region, while it has a latitudinal gradient for other regions of the globe for missing values. For the NPP, missing values were replaced by a mean NPP e.g. for the Amazon region while for other regions latitudinal gradients were applied. In case the R output is not fortran compatible, the programm  $r_to_fortran.f90$  can be run to get the right output format. Another option would be to not use the external fields provided by the Walter wetland model, but use your own mean annual soil temperature, NPP and elevation fields.

- For the hydrological model two static output fields are required, specifying the total bucket volume at each grid point (Sy\_tot.in\_wrf) and the bucket volume up to each soil layer (Sy\_vol.in\_wrf) at each single grid point. These are produced by running the program Sy\_make.f90.
- 3. Hydrological model

The hydrological model calculating the position of the water table is divided into two fortran programs, one for the calculation of the demand of evapotranspiration (demand\_d02.f90) and one for the calculation of the water table (bucket\_d02.f90).

- For the calculation of the demand of evapotranspiration (demand\_d02.f90) the files temperature2.dat, swdown2.dat, glw2.dat and trans.matrix are necessary input files from which the demand is calculated using equations described in Walter et al. [2001a]. The output file is called Demand.in\_wrf which serves as input for the second program of the hydrological model.
- Input files for the calculation of the water table in the hydrological bucket model (bucket\_d02.f90) are: DATAin\_tot.dat, trans.matrix, Demand.in\_wrf, tot \_precip.dat, Sy\_tot.in\_wrf, Sy\_vol.in\_wrf and Elevation\_in.dat. From these drivers, the position of the water table is calculated for each day of the runtime (Water.data) as described in Walter et al. [2001a].
- 4. CH<sub>4</sub> flux model

The main routine for the calculation of the  $CH_4$  wetland emissions is called  $Gmain_d0$ 2.f90. It consists of the main program  $Gmain_d02.f90$  and three additional modules: module\_scalc.f90 for the calculation of parameters that are constant in time but spatially varying, module\_smodel.f90 for the calculation of the  $CH_4$  emissions and module\_soutput.f90 for writing the output files. The command for the compilation is the following:

pgf90 -o a.out Gmain\_d02.f90 module\_scalc.f90 module\_smodel.f90 module\_soutput.f90

Input data are the soil temperature at four different layers (pTDs.dat, pTD3s.dat, PTD4s.dat, PTD5s.dat), mean annual soil temperature (Dtmean\_xx.dat), daily NPP (Dfnpp\_Nov.dat), the position of the water table from the hydrological model (Water.data) and soil and vegetation parameters (DATAin\_tot.dat). The model has two time steps. The outer time step (ntime) is set to the number of days for

which the model is run, while the inner time step (nday) is set to 24, meaning that fluxes are calculated on an hourly time scale, but the final output time step of the fluxes is daily. The output file is called e.g. flux\_test\_d02.out.

### 5. Retransformation to WRF grid

Finally, the  $CH_4$  fluxes that were calculated separately for each grid point have to be retransformed to the WRF grid and multiplied with the fraction of wetland coverage per grid cell. Therefore, tot\_frin\_new.dat and flux\_test\_d02.out serve as input files for the program back\_to\_grid\_d02.f90 which gives the NetCDF output of the calculated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes.

## Chapter 4

# How to run WRF-GHG

This chapter is written to guide experienced WRF users through the new technical features of WRF-GHG. First, the different steps necessary to successfully run WRF-GHG are pointed out. The second section deals with namelist options, both the newly introduced ones for WRF-GHG and specific settings required for the WRF-GHG in the conventional WRF namelist options. In the last section the matlab script to fill of the arrays in the wrfinput file is described.

### 4.1 Steps to run WRF-GHG

As already mentioned above, this guide is written for WRF/WRF-Chem users with experience. Therefore, not each single step that is required to run the WRF model without the GHG model is explained in detail. To get started with WRF, it is recommend to work through the WRF online tutorial and have already done some WRF meteorology runs, before starting with WRF-GHG.

- WRF-GHG is compiled in the same way as the usual WRF-Chem code. Set the path of your NetCDF library (and hdf library if it is required to build the NetCDF library), type export WRF\_CHEM=1 (using bash) to include the chemistry part in the compilation and then type ./configure to choose your machine option (for mpi-bgc cluster users: type "4" for the machine and "1" for the nest option for the WRF preprocessing system (not explained here) use "5" for the machine option). After that, compile the real data cases (./compile em\_real >& compile.log) and make sure that all executables have been created.
- 2. All necessary preprocessed files (meteorology, trace gas fluxes, additional input fields) should be available in the right format, i.e. on the WRF grid.
- 3. Edit the namelist.input file. Specific settings regarding WRF-GHG are explained

in the next section.

- 4. Run ./real.exe and check if all necessary tracer arrays that had been defined in the registry.ghg file are created as empty arrays in the wrfinput and wrfbdy files.
- 5. Run the compiled matlab script co2\_input\_d01 (for mpi-bgc cluster users: to compile a matlab script type e.g. mcc -m co2\_input\_d01), e.g. with the command sh run\_co2\_input\_d01.sh /usr/local/apps/matlab/2010a depending on your matlab version and the compiler settings, and check afterwards if the empty arrays in the wrfinput and the wrfbdy files are filled with reasonable values of the corresponding fields.
- 6. Run ./wrf.exe

(For submitting the ./wrf.exe job on the MPI-BGC cluster, the shell script start\_ wrf\_pg8.sh can be used with the command sh start\_wrf\_pg8.sh 32 where 32 denotes the number of CPUs used.)

If the wrfoutput file is too big, the output can be reduced by excluding variables from the output in the registry.ghg file by deleting the "h" in the 8th column. In case of severe runtime problems that seem to have their origin in the GHG part, please contact the authors of this report.

To perform WRF-GHG simulations with daily re-initialization in an automatic way, the Model Organizing Software System (MOSS) is used at MPI-BGC. A description of how to use MOSS is given in Appendix C.

## 4.2 Namelist settings

In the namelist.input file settings are modified and the different possibilities for emissions and fluxes can be chosen for a WRF-GHG simulation. The namelist.input file has an augmentation in the &physics part of the original namelist.input file of the WRF-Chem model.

But the following chemistry options in the namelist.input file should be set as followed to allow for reasonable GHG tracer transport:

| Value  | Description                                      |
|--------|--|
| 98     | defines $GHG_TRACER$ package and allows          |
|        | for GHG tracer transport                         |
| 1      | to allow for vertical mixing of the tracer       |
| .true. | uses the right scheme to fill in                 |
|        | the boundary conditions                          |
| 0      | no external emissions for WRF-Chem allowed       |
| 0      | no external emissions for WRF-Chem allowed       |
| 1      | to allow for subgrid convective tracer transport |
|        | Value<br>98<br>1<br>.true.<br>0<br>1             |

The &physics section of the namelist.input file is augmented by the following options for tracer transport in WRF-GHG:

| Variable                       | Value | Description   |
|--------------------------------|-------|---|
| ${\tt vprm_class}$             | 8     | How many VPRM classes/vegetation                              |
| -                              |       | types are used  |
| ${\tt vprm\_par\_file}$        |       | ''VPRM_param_CERES2005.txt"                                   |
|                                |       | Name of VPRM parameter file                                   |
|                                |       | located in <run co2_input=""></run>                           |
| $co2\_st\_time$                | 360   | Starting time for tracer transport in min                     |
|                                |       | if very high values $(>10000)$ are assigned                   |
|                                |       | no tracer transport will take place                           |
| $co2\_anthro$                  | 0     | no anthropogenic emissions                                    |
|                                | 1     | daily anthropogenic emissions for $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $CO_2$  |
|                                |       | (external dataset)  |
|                                | 2     | hourly anthropogenic emissions for $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $CO_2$ |
|                                |       | (external dataset)  |
| $wetland_type$                 | 0     | no $CH_4$ wetland emissions                                   |
|                                | 1     | floodplain and peatland CH <sub>4</sub> wetland emissions     |
|                                |       | (Kaplan)  |
|                                | 2     | only floodplain CH <sub>4</sub> wetland emissions (Kaplan)    |
|                                | 3     | only peatland $CH_4$ wetland emissions (Kaplan)               |
|                                | 4     | external $CH_4$ wetland emissions (Walter)                    |
| ${\tt file\_term}$             |       | ''CH4_termite_NW.txt"   |
|                                |       | *.txt file containing table of                                |
|                                |       | biomass and corresponding termite emissions                   |
|                                |       | for America and Australia (NW)                                |
|                                |       | ''CH4_termite_OW.txt"   |
|                                |       | for Europe, Africa and Asia (OW)                              |
|                                |       | located in <run ch4_input=""></run>                           |
| $\texttt{term}_{-}\texttt{id}$ | 0     | no termite emissions  |
|                                | 1     | only CH <sub>4</sub> termite emissions                        |
|                                | 2     | $CH_4$ and $CO_2$ termite emissions                           |
|                                | 3     | only $CO_2$ termite emissions                                 |
|                                | 4     | external CH <sub>4</sub> termite emissions                    |
| $bb_opt_ghg$                   | 0     | no biomass burning emissions                                  |
|                                | 2     | biomass burning emissions $(CO_2, CH_4 \text{ and } CO)$      |
|                                |       | with plumerise module and diurnal cycle                       |
|                                | 3     | biomass burning emissions without plumerise                   |
|                                |       | module and diurnal cycle                                      |
|                                | 4     | biomass burning emissions without plumerise                   |
|                                |       | module but with diurnal cycle                                 |
| ${\tt plume\_frq\_ghg}$        | 180   | plumerise fire frequency in minutes                           |
|                                |       | (180  min recommended)  |
| soil_id                        | 0     | no calculation of soil uptake fluxes                          |
|                                |       |   |

|        | 1 | calculation of soil uptake fluxes                  |
|--------|---|--|
|        | 2 | external soil uptake dataset                       |
| veg_id | 0 | no calculation of $CH_4$ emissions from vegetation |
|        | 1 | calculation of $CH_4$ emissions from vegetation    |
|        | 2 | external $CH_4$ emissions from vegetation          |
| oce_id | 0 | no $CO_2$ ocean fluxes                             |
|        | 1 | external $CO_2$ ocean fluxes (hourly time step)    |

## 4.3 Matlab script

The matlab script f\_initialize\_Amazon\_d01.m reads the wrfinput and wrfbdy file and fills in values for the initial and boundary conditions of the tracers as described in Sec. 2.2 and additionally reads in external data for the empty arrays defined in the registry.ghg file and created during compilation. For initial and boundary conditions a three-dimensional interpolation to the WRF grid is performed online. The different functions inside the Matlab script are briefly described in the following:

- 1. Functions to fill in initial conditions for  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and CO are called  $f_{-}CO_{-}TM3_{-}IC$ ,  $f_{-}CH4_{-}TM5_{-}IC$  and  $f_{-}CO_{-}MACC_{-}IC$ . The initial conditions are usually filled in for the tracers that transport total  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and CO concentrations ( $CO2_{-}1$ ,  $CH4_{-}1$  and  $COT_{-}1$ ) and for the background concentrations ( $CO2_{-}B$ ,  $CH4_{-}B$  and  $COT_{-}B$ ). As already mentioned in Sec. 2.2 due to strong gradients in the concentrations for some regions on the globe (e.g. the Amazon) it is advisable to initialize all tracers (at least for tropical regions) either with the initial conditions or with a constant offset to avoid oscillation with negative values in the advection scheme which is the reason for "disappearing" fluxes.
- 2. Functions to fill in the lateral boundary conditions for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO are f\_CO2\_TM3\_LBCs, f\_CH4\_TM5\_LBCs and f\_CO\_MACC\_LBCs. All tracers that are initialized (as mentioned above) must have their boundary conditions set. If a coarse domain and a nested domain are used, the nested domain is only initialized, but gets its lateral boundary conditions from the coarse domain.
- Function to read in the VPRM preprocessed fields (f\_vprm\_in) such as VEG\_ FRA, EVI\_MAX, EVI\_MIN, LSWI\_MAX and LSWI\_MIN.
- 4. As an example for anthropogenic emissions, hourly emissions from the EDGAR emission inventory are read with the function f\_edgar\_in into the WRF flux variables FL\_ANTCO2, FL\_ANTCH4 and FL\_ANTCO.
- 5. For the wetland emission either the function  $f_wet_in$  can be used to read in external wetland emissions as e.g. from the Walter model into the WRF flux variable  $FL_WET$  or the function  $f_wet_kaplan_in$  is used to read in the LPJ carbon pool

and the Kaplan potential wetland map (*CPOOL* and *WETMAP*) necessary for the online calculation of the  $CH_4$  wetland fluxes using the Kaplan model.

- 6. The biomass burning emissions and plumerise variables are read in with the function f\_bbm\_in. Here the units of the biomass burning emissions have to be converted from [kg/m<sup>2</sup> day] to the flux units inside the WRF-GHG [kg/m<sup>2</sup> s]. The biomass burning emissions are linked to the following flux variables *FL\_BBCO2*, *FL\_BBCH4* and *FL\_BBCO*. The fields of the plumerise module are linked to the following variables: *MEAN\_FCT\_AGTF\_1*, *MEAN\_FCT\_AGEF\_1*, *MEAN\_FCT\_AGSV\_1*, *MEAN\_FCT\_AGGR\_1*, *FIRESIZE\_AGTF\_1*, *FIRESIZE\_AGEF\_1*, *FIRESIZE\_AGGF\_1*.
- 7. To replace the ECMWF soil moisture with the GPNR soil moisture, the function  $f_{sm_i}$  is used. As this function is very short and easily comprehensible, it could serve as an example to write your own function for filling in your own dataset.

# Appendix A

# Coupling the GHG model to a new WRF release

The GHG model is coupled to the WRF-Chem model besides some minor modifications of the WRF-Chem code to allow for tracer transport and turning off several chemistry options by adding three modules in the <chem> directory and a new file to the <Registry> directory. In this chapter a detailed description of the routines that have been changed is given, such that the steps for an upgrade the WRF-GHG to a newer WRF-Chem version are traceable. All changes that have been made to the code are noted either with "RAR", "VB" or "VB-RAR" in the code. In this chapter the locations where the code has been changed are noted, but not all changes of the code are illustrated explicitly in this chapter.

<chem> directory

- 1. Copy the following files from the <chem> folder of the current WRF-GHG version into the <chem> folder of the new WRF-GHG version:
  - module\_greenhouse\_gases.F
  - module\_add\_emissions.F
  - module\_plumerise\_ghg.F

#### 2. /chem/Makefile

The three new modules have to be included in the Makefile of the chemistry part, so that the \*.f90 and \*.o files can be created during the compilation of the code. In the current Makefile they are inserted in line 12 (module\_greenhouse\_gases.o), line 14 (module\_plumerise\_ghg.o) and line 18 ( module\_add\_emissions.o). Also the dependencies of the modules from each other and from other modules have to be written into the Makefile:

```
module_greenhouse_gases.o: module_add_emissions.o module_plumerise_
ghg.o (line 163)
module_plumerise_ghg.o: module_zero_plumegen_coms.o
module_chem_plumerise:scalar.o (line 157)
module_add_emissions.o: (line 161)
```

chem\_driver.o: ... module\_greenhouse\_gases.o ... (line 271)
to include the dependency of the module\_greenhouse\_gases.o from the chem\_
driver.o on other modules.

- 3. /chem/chem\_driver.F
  - Insert the following line at the beginning of the chem\_driver.F routine (line 44):

```
USE module_greenhouse_gases
to allow for the subroutine call greenhouse_gases in the module_greenhouse_
gases.F from the chem_driver.F.
```

- Implement call of the subroutine greenhouse\_gases in the chem\_driver.F (line 683-725) for chem\_opt == 98.
- A WRF debug call was implemented (line 425) for the GHG\_TRACER package
- The calculation of aerosol properties (line 826), photolysis rate (line 848) and other chemical mechanisms (line 1028) are turned off for the mode of tracer transport, as only vertical mixing and dry deposition (optional) are used as chemistry mechanisms for tracer transport, by inserting the line

config\_flags%chem\_opt / = GHG\_TRACER

4. /chem/module\_input\_chem\_data.F

To set the determination of the last gas species of the GHG\_TRACER package to zero by adding the lines (line 2835):

case (GHG\_TRACER)
get\_last\_gas = 0

There might be some other comments (without any effect) in that routine.

5. /chem/chemics\_init.F

Exclusion of chemistry initialization (no initialization of standard profiles) (line 283):

 $IF(config_flags\%chem_opt == 98)$  RETURN

Additionally, a short text notice about the WRF-GHG model is written in here.

### $6. \ \texttt{/chem/module_vertmx_wrf.F}$

Since WRF version V3.2 all changes that had been made in previous versions in that routine have already been included into the official WRF-Chem release.

7. /chem/dry\_dep\_driver.F

The Wesely dry deposition mechanism is excluded by adding the line

 $config_flags\%chem_opt / = GHG_TRACER (line 176)$ 

There are some additional notes without changes in the code in that routine.

<Registry> directory

1. /Registry/registry.ghg

Copy that file from the current WRF-GHG version to the **<Registry>** folder of the new WRF-GHG version.

### 2. /Registry/Registry.EM\_CHEM

Add the following line into the Registry.EM\_CHEM file to include the registry .ghg in the actual registry that is used for building the code (line 444): include registry.ghg

### 3. Registry/registry.dimspec

In this file, all the dimensions used in the WRF model are defined. For the VPRM parameters, the number of simulation hours and namelist parameters of additional variables have to be defined in the following way (lines 75-77):

dimspec02namelist=vprm\_classzVPRM\_vegetation\_classesdimspec12namelist=run\_hourszSimulations\_hoursdimspec12namelist=wetland\_typezwetland\_type

### <run> directory

Create the folders <CO2\_input> and <CH4\_input> in the <run> directory and copy the \*.txt files from both folders of the current WRF-GHG model version to the newly established folders. These files contain the information about the parameters of the VPRM model and the emission fluxes per unit biomass for the termite emissions.

 $dyn_em> directory$ 

```
1. /dyn_em/module_initialize_real.F
Here the calls of the subroutines used to read in the *.txt files containing the VPRM
```

parameter and termite emission parameters are located:

CALL VPRM\_par\_initialize (line 2020) CALL termite\_initialize (line 2026)

2. /dyn\_em/solve\_em.F

In that routine it is determined that the tracer transport starts after a certain time
that is specified with the value of the variable co2\_st\_time in the namelist.input
file (line 2142).
BENCH\_START(chem\_adv\_tim)
chem\_scalar\_advance: IF (num\_3d\_c >= PARAM\_FIRST\_ SCALAR .AND.
config\_flags%co2\_st\_time < grid%xtime) THEN</pre>

3. /dyn\_em/start\_em.F

Here the call of the subroutine chem\_init is prohibited if the greenhouse gas tracer package is used (line 1031):

IF ( <code>config\_flags%chem\_opt</code>  $/=\!\!98$  )THEN

<share> directory

1. /share/mediation\_integrate.F

If the GHG\_TRACER package is used WRF-Chem will be prevented from reading in auxiliary chemical input variables by adding the following line to the code:

IF( config\_flags%chem\_opt > 0 .AND. config\_flags%chem\_opt /= 98 ) THEN (line 86)

2. /share/solve\_interface.F

Here again the time control for tracer transport and emissions is implemented: IF(config\_flags%chem\_opt == 98 .AND. config\_flags%co2\_st\_time<grid %xtime ) THEN

3.  $/share/module_model_constants.F$ 

Two subroutines to read in the \*.txt files for the VPRM parameters and the termite emission parameters are located in that routine (line 161, 197):

SUBROUTINE VPRM\_par\_initialize SUBROUTINE termite\_initialize

<main> directory

The chemistry initialization for the nest will be prohibited by inserting the following code to these /main/ndown\_em.F (line 692, 729), /main/real\_em.F (line 445, 511) and

```
/main/nup_em.F (line 636,655) routines: IF(parent_grid%chem_opt .NE. 0 .AND. parent_grid%chem_opt /= 98) then
```

And also a message is written out in these routines: ELSE message = 'RAVAN: NO INITIALIZATION for CO2\_\* in this step' CALL wrf\_message (message) (line 729)

# Appendix B

# Figures



Figure B.1: Sketch of an example for a model domain (here the Amazon region) for WRF-GHG simulations illustrating a coarse (30 km, ``d01'') and a nested model domain (10 km, ``d02'').



Figure B.2: Comparison of observed  $CH_4$  mixing ratios (a1,b1) to the WRF simulated  $CH_4$  concentrations (a2,b2) in vertical cross-sections along the flight path (a3,b3) of the airplane for two flights in the Amazon region during the BARCA project. The grey lines denotes the vertical "path" of the airplane flown, where the mixing ratios have been measured. The observations are interpolated in the same way as the WRF-GHG results which had been extracted along the flight path of the airplane.  $CH_4$  concentration is indicated by the color scale.



Figure B.3: Extracted tagged tracer for different  $CH_4$  emission processes along the flight path for two flights in the Amazon during the BARCA project. The sum of all components is the difference of the total  $CH_4$  concentration ( $CH_4$  1) and the  $CH_4$  background concentration ( $CH_4$  B). (a) illustrates one flight at the end of the dry season in November 2008 with significant biomass burning contribution, while for (b) at the end of the wet season the  $CH_4$  emissions from wetlands are dominating.



Figure B.4: Vertical cross section (using a distance weighted interpolation) of the observed and simulated  $CO_2$  fields (given in ppm) as a function of distance flown by the aircraft (cumulative distance) around the Ochsenkopf Tower in Fichtelgebirge, Germany, for 20th October 2008: a) measurements b) WRF-VPRM simulations within the European domain c) Flight track with color gradient showing altitude range (legend at the top left-hand side of the panel) above ground. The symbol "\*" denotes cumulative distance in km (legend at the bottom right-hand side of the panel). In (a-b), the time of measurements/simulations is given in the top X-axis.



Figure B.5: Vertical cross section of observed CO concentrations along a flight track (a) on 19th November 2008 in the Amazon region from Santarém to Manaus compared to WRF-GHG simulations using the plumerise mechanism (b) and WRF-GHG simulations without using the plumerise mechanism (c).



Figure B.6: Example for  $CO_2$  and CO fluxes over the Amazon basin simulated by WRF-GHG. For that simulation, the VPRM model - calculating biospheric  $CO_2$  fluxes GEE (a) and respiration (b), the biomass burning preprocessor for the calculation of  $CO_2$  (c) and CO (e) biomass burning fluxes for 20th November 2008 and the EDGAR emission inventory for anthropogenic emissions of  $CO_2$  (d) and CO (f) were utilized. All units are in [kg/m<sup>2</sup>s].



Figure B.7: Example for  $CH_4$  fluxes over the Amazon basin, using the online calculated wetland fluxes of the Kaplan inventory (a) or the offline calculated wetland fluxes using the Walter model (b), anthropogenic emissions from the EDGAR emission inventory (c), biomass burning emissions from the biomass burning preprocessor for 20th November 2008 (d), online calculated termite emissions (e) and soil uptake of  $CH_4$  from the atmosphere (f). All units are in [kg/m<sup>2</sup>s].

# Appendix C

# Model Organizing Software System (MOSS)

The Model Organizing Software System (MOSS) has been developed by Roberto Kretschmer (rkretsch@bgc-jena.mpg.de) to automatize and organize WRF-VPRM (now WRF-GHG) model simulations that allow for daily re-initialization of meteorology. It is written in Python language and automates the daily start of the 30 hr WRF simulation for each day, taking the tracer fields from the previous day as initialization (see Sec. 2.2). MOSS submits WRF runs to the Institute's computing cluster using the load sharing facility (LSF). Output generated by WRF is automatically saved in a central archive that is managed by the software. In addition, MOSS uses a data base management system (MySQL database of the Institute) to persistently store meta-information for all the runs performed and the files that were created. This makes it easy to find runs and the associated model output by querying the database using any of user interfaces (UI). Currently there are three UIs available to access MOSS: 1) web browser interface (described in Kretschmer [2008]) 2) Python graphical user interface (GUI, shown here) 3) the standard MySQL command line interface. A backup of the meta-information and the actual data is performed regularly on the Institutes tape archives maintained by the IT department to prevent data loss. A detailed description of the MOSS is found in Kretschmer [2008]. Here the focus is on a short introduction to use the MOSS to perform WRF-GHG simulations.

## C.1 Steps to start a WRF-GHG simulation with MOSS

Basically, the MOSS executes the steps 4-6 described in Sec. 4.1 automatically for each short-term forecast of the simulation period. A separate module can be defined for each new user specifying the name of the matlab script (Sec. 4.3), the number of domains for which the matlab script has to be executed, and which files should be stored (e.g.

wrfinput, wrfody, wrfoutput, namelist.input, etc.). To start a WRF-GHG simulation with MOSS, the following steps are required:



Figure C.1: Part of the namlist.input.template file showing the changes necessary to be done in the time control section to allow for the handing over of the MOSS date variables End date and Start date.

- 1. Before starting MOSS, the matlab scripts (cf. Sec. 4.3) have to be compiled by using e.g. the command mcc -m co2 input d01.m and the run co2 input d01.sh file should be created in the Matlab directory.
- 2. The namelist.input file has to be modified as illustrated in Fig. C.1 to allow the handing over of the different dates and the tracer restart defined in the run setting of MOSS. The modified file is saved as namelist.input.template (might be located in the <WRFV3> directory) and the path to the file is set up in the run settings (6.).
- 3. Create a <wrf-result> directory (e.g. in the <WRFV3/run> directory). In this directory MOSS creates links to the WRF history files (wrfoutput) of each previous short-term forecast in order to perform the restart of tracer fields for a consistent transport simulation.
- 4. Start MOSS by executing moss.sh in the directory </Net/Groups/BSY/tools/MOSS>. The MOSS GUI is loaded and presents the user a dialogue window as illustrated in Fig. C.2. To configure simulations and access data two menu entries can be found in the main menu: Run and Module.
- 5. Under the button Module and Select, a specific module can be selected to start the

WRF-GHG simulations (e.g. as example mod\_barca (see Fig. C.4) for a simulation with one nest or mod\_rkretsch for a simulation with only one domain)

6. A new MOSS run (needed to be setup for each new WRF-GHG simulation) is defined by selecting the option  $\operatorname{Run} \to \operatorname{New}$  in the MOSS GUI (Fig. C.2). A new dialogue (see Fig. C.3) asks the user to setup the run specification. The run specifications should be set in the following way:

| Name            | Defining name of the WRF-GHG simulation         |
|-----------------|---|
| Description     | (optional) to specify changes/specific settings |
| Start date      | First day of the WRF-GHG simulation             |
| End date        | Last day of the WRF-GHG simulation              |
| WRF path        | Path to the wrf.exe executable                  |
| Namelist path   | Path to the namelist.input.template file        |
| WRF result path | Path to the <wrf-result> directory</wrf-result> |
| Matlab path     | Path to the compiled matlab files               |
| Number of CPU's | (optional) Set number of CPU used for the       |
|                 | simulation (standard 24)                        |
| Queue           | (optional) To change the queue on the Cluster   |

- 7. The WRF-GHG simulation is started by selecting the menu entry  $\texttt{Run} \rightarrow \texttt{Start}$
- 8. For each job submitted from MOSS to the queue, the user receives an Email that contains status information of the cluster job (e.g. processors used, wall clock time, error messages).
- 9. Output files specified to be saved can be accessed by selecting Run → Browse files. In a dialogue window the user can query the data base to search for certain files and create links to these files in the archive in any directory the user desires. The archive location of the output files is in the directory </Net/Groups/BSY/data/MOSS/run\_ID>. This directory contains subdirectories for each MOSS module that were used by the run.

Under certain circumstances (server failure, script abortion) MOSS might not quit correctly which hinders the normal operation. In such cases it is in general sufficient to delete the file MOSS\_update.lock that is located in the user's home directory. After doing so the run has to be started again using the MOSS GUI.

| 🐹 MOSS GUI |                                     |                     | _            |     |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----|
| Run Module |                                     |                     |              |     |
| ID         | Name                                | [-] Date            | Status       |     |
| 185        | WRF-GHG-May09_Prigent_wetland       | 2011-02-07 17:16:08 | finished     | P   |
| 186        | WRF-GHG_Nov08_Prigent_wetland_map   | 2011-02-07 17:16:08 | finished     |     |
| 182        | BARCA_B_blended_fluxes_WRF_02_170   | 2011-01-25 18:49:11 | finished     |     |
| 181        | WRF_GHG_BARCA_B_GD_06               | 2010-12-20 11:21:16 | finished     |     |
| 180        | GRELL_DEVENYI_MAY_2009_02_BC_19_21  | 2010-12-08 18:57:28 | finished     |     |
| 178        | KAIN_FRITSCH_MAY_2009_02_BC_19_21   | 2010-12-07 11:09:09 | finished     |     |
| 179        | BMJ_KONVECTION_MAY_2009_02_BC_19_21 | 2010-12-07 11:09:09 | finished     | _   |
| 177        | GRELL_CONVEC_19_21_05_2009_02_BC    | 2010-12-06 19:20:05 | finished     | - 1 |
| 176        | WRF-GHG_RUN_2_REAL_BC_RED_WET_08    | 2010-11-29 11:37:08 | finished     | - 1 |
| 173        | WRF-GHG_MAY_2009_04_PB_SCI_FIELDS   | 2010-11-19 13:02:05 | finished     | - 1 |
| 171        | WRF-GHG_RUN_01_KAPLAN_REAL_BC_09    | 2010-11-09 16:26:53 | finished     | - 1 |
| 172        | WRF-GHG_RUN_01_KAPLAN_REAL_BC_08    | 2010-11-09 16:26:53 | finished     | - 1 |
| 168        | REST_WRF-GHG_RUN_2_REAL_BC          | 2010-10-27 10:47:03 | stopped      | - 1 |
| 169        | WRF-GHG_RUN_02_2008_REAL_BC         | 2010-10-27 10:47:03 | finished     | - 1 |
| 170        | WRF-GHG_RUN_02_2009_REAL_BC         | 2010-10-27 10:47:03 | finished     | - 1 |
| 166        | WRF-GHG_RUN_2_REAL_BC               | 2010-10-19 19:02:16 | finished     | - 1 |
| 167        | WRF-GHG_RUN_2_REAL_BC_08            | 2010-10-19 19:02:16 | running      |     |
|            |                                     | 2010 10 12 12 50 12 | distants and | 100 |

Figure C.2: MOSS GUI showing the ID of the run, the name of the run, the date when the run was started and the status of the run is shown and two buttons to select options for run and module settings.

| Name                  |              | WRE path              |  |     |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----|
| WRF-3.2-GGM_2009_     | MAY_01       | /Net/Groups/BSY/peopl | e/vbeck/WRF_runs/WRF-3.2-0                       | GG  |
| Description*          |              | Namelist path         |  |     |
| First run for BARCA B |              | /Net/Groups/BSY/peopl | /Net/Groups/BSY/people/vbeck/WRF_runs/WRF-3.2-GG |     |
|                       |              | WRF result path*      |  |     |
|                       |              | /Net/Groups/BSY/peopl | /Net/Groups/BSY/people/vbeck/WRF_runs/WRF_2009_( |     |
|                       |              | Matlab path           | Matlab path                                      |     |
|                       |              | /Net/Groups/BSY/peopl | e/vbeck/Matlab/                                  |     |
|                       |              | Number of CPUs*       | Queue*   |     |
| Start date            | End date     | 32                    | all  |     |
| 2009-05-03            | 2009-06-01   |                       |  |     |
| Form                  | at YYY-MM-DD |                       | * optional fi                                    | eld |
|                       |              |                       |  |     |
|                       |              |                       |  |     |

Figure C.3: Illustration of the window where the settings for a specific WRF-GHG simulation have to be specified.



Figure C.4: Example of a MOSS module file where compiler and library settings, name of the matlab scripts, submission commands for jobs to the cluster and the number of files to store are set.

# Appendix D

# Running WRF-GHG without Matlab

In case one should want to run WRF-GHG on a computer on which Matlab is not available, such as on blizzard, the supercomputer of the DKRZ (Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum), the task of filling in the flux fields and the initial and boundary conditions in the wrfinput and wrfbdy files (all of the tasks outlined in Sec. 4.3) can be done by using the command line netCDF operators of NCO. Information, source code, and documentation regarding NCO are available at http://nco.sourceforge.net/.

NCO comprises twelve different stand-alone commands that use netCDF files as input, perform a range of operations, and write netCDF files as output. By carefully combining these commands and preparing the pre-processed flux fields and boundary condition concentration fields as netCDF files (with any required interpolation already completed), the entire Matlab program can be replaced by a shell script. All examples given in this appendix are written using bash syntax.

More complete documentation can be found in the NCO User's Guide, but a brief introduction to the operators, describing only their functionality as they are used in the script, is given here.

ncks (netCDF Kitchen Sink): Extracts subsets of data (specified variables and attributes plus all global data) from an input file and writes it in netCDF format to an output file. This is the most-used of all the operators in the script.

**ncecat** (netCDF Ensemble Concatenator): Combines an arbitrary number of netCDF input files into a single netCDF output file, storing each of the input files as a single record in the output file. This is useful when WRF expects hourly fluxes, but the input data are constant in time, as it allows for the same variable to be easily repeated for each record.

ncbo (netCDF Binary Operator): Performs binary operations on variables stored in two input files, and saves the results in an output file. This was used in the script for the calculation of the tracer lateral boundary conditions, in order to calculate the tendencies (BTYS, BTXE, etc.) by subtracting the boundary values at adjacent time steps. Furthermore, these tendencies need to be divided by the number of seconds in 6 hours (21600 s, the time step in the boundary condition files). To do this a netCDF file was created with a variable containing a constant value (1/21600) on the model's grid, and ncbo was used to multiply the two variables together.

**ncpdq** (netCDF Permute Dimensions Quickly): Rearranges the order of dimensions for given variables, getting them into the order required by WRF.

ncrcat (netCDF Record Concatenator): Concatenates record variables across an arbitrary number of files.

ncrename (netCDF Renamer): Renames dimensions, variables, and attributes in a netCDF file.

**ncflint** (netCDF File Interpolater): Makes a linear combination of different input files either as a weighted average (as is used in an example below), a normalized weighted average, or an interpolation.

As an example of how a simple, time-invariant field is put into the wrfinput file, consider the case of the Kaplan potential wetland map and the LPJ carbon pool (WETMAP and CPOOL), both of which are required for online calculation of methane wetland fluxes based on the Kaplan model. In Sec. 4.3 this is described in item 5, and carried out with the Matlab function f\_wet\_kaplan\_in. Using NCO this can be done as follows (shown only for WETMAP, CPOOL is equivalent):

#### wrfin=\${workdir}'/wrfinput\_d0'\${d}

Here the wrfinput file is being defined, where d specifies the number of the domain, and acts as the index in a for-loop for simulations with multiple domains. The working directory has been previously defined in the script.

wetfile=\${inputdir}'/new\_wetlands\_d0'\${d}'.nc'

The original potential wetland map has already been interpolated to the grid resolution of the domain offline.

ncks -v Wetlands \$wetfile dummy.nc

Here ncks is used to extract just the variable of interest, "Wetlands", and saves it in a temporary file called dummy.nc.

```
ncecat dummy.nc dummy2.nc
```

The concatenation operator ncecat is used here not to concatenate files but rather to add a record dimension (which will be renamed to "Time") to the variable such that it matches the dimensionality of the wrfinput file.

```
ncrename -v Wetlands,WETMAP -d ncl0,south_north -d ncl1,west_east \
    -d record,Time dummy2.nc
```

ncrename is used to replace both the variable and all the dimension names in order to match the wrfinput file.

ncks -A -v WETMAP dummy2.nc \$wrfin rm dummy\*

Finally, the *WETMAP* variable is written into the wrfinput file and all the intermediary working files are removed.

For the VPRM pre-processed fields roughly the same procedure can be followed for the following variables:  $VEG\_FRA$ ,  $EVI\_MAX$ ,  $EVI\_MIN$ ,  $LSWI\_MAX$ , and  $LSWI\_MIN$ . However for variables EVI and LSWI it's a bit more complicated as the day of the year needs to be taken into account, and a weighted average taken between adjacent values 8 days apart. When using Matlab, this would be carried out by  $f\_vprm\_in$  as described by item 3 in Sec. 4.3. Here is how it was done using NCO and shell scripting:

```
fld=( evi lswi )
fld_upper=( EVI LSWI )
```

This just saves the original variable names (fld) and the target variable names (fld\_upper) as arrays that can be looped over.

```
for (( m=0; m<= 1; m++ ))
do
file_vprm=${vprmdir}/VPRM_input_${fld_upper[m]}_d0${d}_${year}.nc
doy_string=$(ncks -d start_day_of_year $file_vprm)
start_doy=${doy_string: -30:3}
let "diff=$doy-$start_doy-2"</pre>
```

Here the day of the year, (doy), has already been calculated earlier in the script based on the month and day, while the initial day of the year in the VPRM input file (file\_vprm) is extracted from the file using ncks and then subsampling the string. The difference between the two is calculated, and two is subtracted to account for zero indexing.

```
let "n1=$diff/8"
let "n0=$n1-1"
let "n2=$diff%8"
w1=$(echo "scale=3; 1-$n2/8.0" | bc)
w2=$(echo "scale=3; 1-$w1" | bc)
```

Here the record numbers of the two adjacent 8-day time steps are calculated (n0 and n1), and the weighting assigned to each of them. In order to average the two time steps using this weighting, each is first stored its own dummy file using ncks, and then ncflint is used to linearly average with the given weights:

```
ncks -A -d time,$n0 -v ${fld[m]} $file_vprm dummy1.nc
ncks -A -d time,$n1 -v ${fld[m]} $file_vprm dummy2.nc
ncflint -v ${fld[m]} -w $w1,$w2 dummy1.nc dummy2.nc dummy3.nc
```

Now the file dummy3.nc has the required information, but the dimensions are in the wrong order, and have to be shuffled with ncpdq, renamed using ncrename, and inserted into wrfinput using ncks:

```
ncpdq -v ${fld[m]} -a time,vprm_classes,south_north,west_east dummy3.nc dummy4.nc
ncrename -v ${fld[m]},${fld_upper[m]} -d time,Time dummy4.nc
ncks -A -v ${fld_upper[m]} dummy4.nc $wrfin
rm dummy*
done
```

Between these two examples and the comments on the operators themselves, the reader should have sufficient information to construct a script of his or her own to initialize the wrfinput and wrfbdy files based on specific model set-up and input data. One general recommendation would be to choose a grid with a different number of grid boxes along the lateral grid axes, which ensures that the correct data cannot be inserted into the target file transposed.

The script which initialized the wrfinput and wrfbdy files (initialize\_bdy.bash) is run from another shell script (runner.bash) which, in order, copies the just-completed results to the output directory, updates the namelist automatically for the next day's simulation (using sed and dates extracted from the previous run's wrfout files), submits real.exe to the queue, runs initialize\_bdy.bash, and then submits wrf.exe to the queue. While lacking most of the functions of the MOSS, it allows for the automated production of the job string and re-initialization of the input files without any interactive input. Both of these scripts were developed by Julia Marshall (marshall@bgc-jena.mpg.de) in order to run WRF-GHG on blizzard, and she should be contacted in case of any questions.
## List of Figures

| 2.1 | Schematic structure of the VPRM model calculating GEE and Respiration<br>based on satellite data and input variables from WRF. The VPRM parame-<br>ters themselves ( $\lambda$ , $PAR_0$ , $\alpha$ , $\beta$ ) are validated against Eddy-flux tower data<br>(adapted from Mahadevan et al. [2008])  | 13 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Schematic structure of all input parameters for the biomass burning pre-<br>processor (from Longo et al. [2007])  | 20 |
| 2.3 | Schematic representation illustrating the structure of the Walter wetland<br>model with the position of the water table, soil temperature, and NPP<br>as input of the model. The $CH_4$ production and oxidation rates that are<br>calculated at each soil layer and the three different processes (diffusion,<br>ebullition and plant-mediated transport) are responsible for the transport<br>of the $CH_4$ to the atmosphere (from Walter and Heimann [2000])  | 23 |
| B.1 | Sketch of an example for a model domain (here the Amazon region) for WRF-GHG simulations illustrating a coarse (30 km, "d01") and a nested model domain (10 km, "d02")  | 47 |
| B.2 | Comparison of observed $CH_4$ mixing ratios (a1,b1) to the WRF simulated $CH_4$ concentrations (a2,b2) in vertical cross-sections along the flight path (a3,b3) of the airplane for two flights in the Amazon region during the BARCA project. The grey lines denotes the vertical "path" of the airplane flown, where the mixing ratios have been measured. The observations are interpolated in the same way as the WRF-GHG results which had been extracted along the flight path of the airplane. $CH_4$ concentration is indicated by the color scale. | 48 |
|     | v   |    |

| B.3 | Extracted tagged tracer for different $CH_4$ emission processes along the flight<br>path for two flights in the Amazon during the BARCA project. The sum<br>of all components is the difference of the total $CH_4$ concentration ( $CH_{4-1}$ )<br>and the $CH_4$ background concentration ( $CH_{4-B}$ ). (a) illustrates one flight<br>at the end of the dry season in November 2008 with significant biomass<br>burning contribution, while for (b) at the end of the wet season the $CH_4$<br>emissions from wetlands are dominating   | 48 |
|-----|---|----|
| B.4 | Vertical cross section (using a distance weighted interpolation) of the ob-<br>served and simulated CO <sub>2</sub> fields (given in ppm) as a function of distance<br>flown by the aircraft (cumulative distance) around the Ochsenkopf Tower<br>in Fichtelgebirge, Germany, for 20th October 2008: a) measurements b)<br>WRF-VPRM simulations within the European domain c) Flight track with<br>color gradient showing altitude range (legend at the top left-hand side of<br>the panel) above ground. The symbol "*" denotes cumulative distance in<br>km (legend at the bottom right-hand side of the panel). In (a-b), the time<br>of measurements/simulations is given in the top X-axis | 49 |
| B.5 | Vertical cross section of observed CO concentrations along a flight track (a) on 19th November 2008 in the Amazon region from Santarém to Manaus compared to WRF-GHG simulations using the plumerise mechanism (b) and WRF-GHG simulations without using the plumerise mechanism (c)  | 50 |
| B.6 | Example for $CO_2$ and $CO$ fluxes over the Amazon basin simulated by WRF-GHG. For that simulation, the VPRM model - calculating biospheric $CO_2$ fluxes GEE (a) and respiration (b), the biomass burning preprocessor for the calculation of $CO_2$ (c) and $CO$ (e) biomass burning fluxes for 20th November 2008 and the EDGAR emission inventory for anthropogenic emissions of $CO_2$ (d) and $CO$ (f) were utilized. All units are in $[kg/m^2s]$  | 51 |
| B.7 | Example for $CH_4$ fluxes over the Amazon basin, using the online calculated wetland fluxes of the Kaplan inventory (a) or the offline calculated wetland fluxes using the Walter model (b), anthropogenic emissions from the EDGAR emission inventory (c), biomass burning emissions from the biomass burning preprocessor for 20th November 2008 (d), online calculated termite emissions (e) and soil uptake of $CH_4$ from the atmosphere (f). All units are in [kg/m <sup>2</sup> s].  | 52 |
| C.1 | Part of the namlist.input.template file showing the changes necessary to be done in the time_control section to allow for the handing over of the MOSS date variables End date and Start date   | 54 |
| C.2 | MOSS GUI showing the ID of the run, the name of the run, the date when<br>the run was started and the status of the run is shown and two buttons to<br>select options for run and module settings   | 56 |

| C.3 | Illustration of the window where the settings for a specific WRF-GHG sim-<br>ulation have to be specified  | 56 |
|-----|--|----|
| C.4 | Example of a MOSS module file where compiler and library settings, name of the matlab scripts, submission commands for jobs to the cluster and the number of files to store are set. | 57 |

## List of Tables

| Physics options of WRF-GHG used for Europe and Siberia and the Amazon region   | 4  |
|--|--|
| Overview of all tracer variables defined in the <code>registry.ghg</code> file $\ldots$ .  | 6  |
| Overview over all flux variables used in the WRF-GHG model that are defined in the <b>registry.ghg</b> file. "Ext." implies offline/external calculation of fluxes or use of emission inventories and "int." online calculation of fluxes and internal variables. The time step of the external fluxes can be either daily or hourly. For the internal fluxes the time step of calculation depends on the time step of the WRF model   | 7  |
| Main characteristics of the global models used as initial and lateral bound-<br>ary conditions in WRF-GHG  | 12   |
| $T_{min}$ , $T_{max}$ and $T_{opt}$ values for the photosynthesis process as defined in WRF-GHG.   | 14   |
| Illustration on how vegetation types defined by Sanderson [1996] are assigned to WRF vegetation types for the quantification of termite fluxes   | 17   |
| Parameter table to match the vegetation types defined by Walter et al. [2001a] and the WRF vegetation types and assigned parameters for efficiency of plant-mediated transport (IT-VEG = 0 no plant mediated transport =15 maximum efficiency for plant mediated transport), rooting depth ( <i>nroot</i> ), soil depth ( <i>nsoil</i> ), fraction of bare soil ( <i>ibare</i> ), maximum monthly NPP ( <i>inppm</i> ), total annual NPP ( <i>inppt</i> ) and relative pore space of coarse pores ( <i>rpv</i> , between 0 and 1). Most of the parameter values are derived from the parameter table in Walter et al. [2001a]. The Walter vegetation types. The rooting depth was calculated after the corresponding formula in Walter et al. [2001a]. | 24   |
|  | Physics options of WRF-GHG used for Europe and Siberia and the Amazon region |

## Bibliography

- Ahmadov, R., C. Gerbig, R. Kretschmer, S. Körner, C. Rödenbeck, P. Bousquet, and M. Ramonet, 2009: Comparing high resolution WRF-VPRM simulations and two global CO<sub>2</sub> transport models with coastel tower measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> Biogeosciences, 6, 807–817.
- Ahmadov, R., C. Gerbig, R. Kretschmer, S. Koerner, B. Neininger, A. J. Dolmann, and C. Sarat, 2007: Mesoscale covariance of transport and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: Evidence from observations and simulations using the WRF-VPRM coupled atmosphere-biosphere model J. Geophys. Res., 112, D22107, doi:10.1029/2007JD008552.
- Alonso, M. F., K. M. Longo, S. R. Freitas, R. M. da Fonseca, V. Marécal, M. Pirre, and L. C. Klenner, 2010: An urban emission inventory for South America and its application in numerical modeling of atmospheric chemical composition at local and regional scales *Atmos. Environm.*, 44(39), 5072–5083.
- Andreae M. O. and P. Merlet, 2001: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning *Global Biochem. Cycles*, 15(4), 955–966.
- Beerling, D. J., T. Gardiner, G. Leggett, A. McLeod, and W. P. Quick, 2008: Missing methane emissions from leaves of terrestrial plants *Global Change Biology*, 14, 1821– 1826.
- Bergamaschi, P., C. Frankenberg, J. F. Meirink, M. Krol, F. Dentener, T. Wagner, U. Platt, J. O. Kaplan, S. Körner, M. Heimann, E. J. Dlugokencky, and A. Goede, 2007: Satellite chartography of atmospheric methane from SCIAMACHY on board ENVISAT:
  2. Evaluation based on inverse model simulations J. Geophys. Res., 112, D02304, doi:10.1029/2006JD007268.
- Bergamaschi, P., C. Frankenberg, J. F. Meirink, M. Krol, M. G. Villani, S. Houweling, F. Dentener, E. J. Dlugokencky, J. B. Miller, L. V. Gatti, A. Engel, and I. Levin, 2009: Inverse modeling of global and regional CH<sub>4</sub> emissions using SCIAMACHY satellite retrievals J. Geophys. Res., 114, D22301, doi:10.1029/2009JD012287.
- Christensen, T., I. C. Prentice, J. Kaplan, A. Haxeltine, and S. Sitch, 1996: Methane flux from northern wetlands and tundra *Tellus*, **48B**, 652–661.

- Cosby, B. J., G. M. Hornberger, R. B. Clapp, and T. R. Ginn, 1984: A Statistical Exploration of the Relatioships of Soil Moisture Characteristics to the Physical Properties of Soil *Water Resources Res.*, **20**(6), 682–690.
- Drevet, J., 2008: Modeling Study of the Interannual Variability in Global Tropospheric Hydroxyl Radical and Methane Concentrations Over the Last Two Decades, Dissertation, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
- Dueck, T. A., R. de Visser, H. Poorter, S. Persijn, A. Gorissen, W. de Visser, A. Schapendonk, J. Verhagen, J. Snel, F. J. M. Harren, A. K. Y. Ngai, F. Verstappen, H. Bouwmeester, L. A. C. J. Voesenek, and A. van der Werf, 2007: No evidence for substantial aerobic methane emissions by terrestrial plants: a <sup>13</sup>C-labelling approach New Phytologist, **175**, 29–35.
- Ferretti, D. F., J. B. Miller, J. W. C. White, K. R Lassey, D. C. Lowe, and D. M. Etheridge, 2007: Stable isotopes provide revised global limits of aerobic methane emissions from plants Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 237–241.
- Freitas, S. R., K. M. Longo, M. A. F. Silva Dias, P. L. Silva Dias, R. Chatfield, E. Prins, P. Artaxo, G. A. Grell, F. S. Recuero, 2005: Monitoring the Transport of Biomass Burning Emissions in South America *Environ. Fluid Mech.*, 5, 135–167.
- Freitas, S. R., K. M. Longo, and M. O. Andreae, 2006: Impact of including the plume rise of vegetation fires in numerical simulations of associated atmospheric pollutants *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L17808, doi:10.1029/2006GL026608.
- Freitas, S. R., K. M. Longo, M. A. F. Silva Dias, R. Chatfield, P. Silva Dias, P. Artaxo, M. O. Andreae, G. Grell, L. F. Rodrigues, A. Fazenda, and J. Panetta, 2009: The Coupled Aerosol and Tracer Transport model to the Brazilian developments on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (CATT-BRAMS) Part 1: Model description and evaluation Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2843-2861, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2843-2009.
- Freitas, S. R., K. M. Longo, M. F. Alonso, M. Pirre, V. Marecal, G. Grell, R. Stockler, R. F. Mello, and M. Sánchez Gácita, 2010: PREP-CHEM-SRC - 1.0: A pre-processor of trace gases and aerosols emission fields for regional and global atmospheric chemistry models *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4, 419-433.
- Grell, G., S. E. Peckham, R. Schmitz, S. A. McKeen, G. Frost, W. C. Skamarock, and B. Eder, 2005: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model Atmos. Environ., 39(37), doi:6910.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.6904.6027.
- Grell, G., S. R. Freitas, M. Stuefer, and J. Fast, 2010: Inclusion of biomass burning in WRF-Chem: impact of wildfires on weather forecasts Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 30613-30650.
- Heimann, M., and S. Koerner, 2003: The Global Atmospheric Tracer Model TM3: Model description and user manual release 3.8a, no.5, Max Planck Inst. for Biogeochem. (MPI-BGC), Jena, Germany

- Houweling, S., T. Röckmann, I. Aben, F. Keppler, M. Krol, J. F. Meirink, E. J. Dlugokencky, and C. Frankenberg, 2006: Atmospheric constraints on global emissions of methane from plants *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, L15821, doi:10.1029/2006GL026162.
- Jung, M. K. Henkel, M. Herold, and G. Churkina, 2006: Exploiting synergies of global land cover products for carbon cycle modeling *Remote Sensing of Environement*, 101, 534-553.
- Kaplan, J. O., 2002: Wetlands at the Last Glacial Maximum: Distribution and methane emissions *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, No.6, 1079, doi:10.1029/2001GL013366.
- Keppler, F., J. T. G. Hamilton, M. Braß, T. Röckmann, 2006: Methane emissions from terrestrial plants under aerobic conditions *Nature*, 439(7073), 187–191.
- Kretschmer, R., 2008: Development of a Software System for Integration, Automation, Management & Presentation of WRF-VPRM Computer Model Runs Diploma Thesis, Friedrich Schiller Universität Jena, 92pp.
- Longo, K. M., S. R. Freitas, A. Setzer, E. Prins, P. Artaxo, and M. O. Andreae, 2007: The coupled Aerosol and Tracer Transport Model to the Brazilian developments on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (CATT-BRAMS) - Part 2: Model sensitivity to the biomass burning inventories Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5785-5795, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5785-2010, 20108571-8595.
- Mahadevan, P., S. C. Wofsy, D. M. Matross, X. Xiao, A. L. Dunn, J. C. Lin, C. Gerbig, J. W. Munger, V. Y. Chow, and E. W. Gottlieb, 2008: A satellite-based biosphere parametrization for net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange: Vegetation Photosynthesis and Respiration Model (VPRM) *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.*, 22, GB2005, doi:10.1029/2006GB002735.
- Matthews, E., and I. Fung, 1987: Methane emissions from natural wetlands: Global distribution, area and environmental characteristics of sources *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.*, 1, 61–86.
- Michalakes, J., and D. Schaffer, 2004:WRF Tiger Team Documentation: The Registry NCAR/NOAA/FSL available at: http://www.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/WG2/software\_2.0/registry\_schaffer.pdf
- Peckham, S. E., G. A. Grell, S. A. McKeen, J. D. Fast, W. I. Gustafson, S. J. Ghan, R. Zaveri, R. C. Easter, J. Barnard, E. Chapman, C. Wiedinmyer, R. Schmitz, M. Salzmann, and S. R. Freitas, 2009: WRF/Chem Version 3.1 User's Guide
- Pillai, D., C. Gerbig, J. Marshall, R. Ahmadov, R. Kretschmer, T. Koch, and U. Karstens, 2010: High resolution modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> over Europe: implications of representation errors of satellite retrievals Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 83-94.
- Prins, E. M., J. M. Feltz, W. P. Menzel, D. E. Ward, 1998: An overview of GOES-8 diurnal fire and smoke results for SCAR-B and 1995 fire season in South America J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24), 31,821–31,835.

- Raich, J. W., E. B. Rastetter, J. M. Melillo, D. W. Kicklighter, P. A. Steudler, B. J. Peterson, A. L. Grace, B. Moore III, C. J. Vorosmarty, 1999: Potential Net Primary Productivity in South America: Application of a Global Model *Ecol. Applic.*, 1(4), 399–429.
- Ridgwell, A. J., S. J. Marshall, and K. Gregson, 1999: Consumption of atmospheric methane by soils: A process-based model *Global Biochem. Cycles*, **13**(1), 59–70.
- Rödenbeck, C., S. Houweling, M. Gloor, and M. Heimann, 2003: CO<sub>2</sub> flux history 1982-2001 inferred from atmospheric data using a global inversion of atmospheric transport Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1919–1964.
- Sanderson, M. G., 1996: Biomass of termites and their emissions of methane and carbon dioxode: A global database *Global Biochem. Cycles*, **10**(4), 543–557.
- Skamarock, W. C., J. B. Klemp, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, D. M. Barker, M. G. Duda, X.-Y. Huang, W. Wang and J. G. Powers, 2008: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3, Tech. Note, NCAR/TN-475+STR, National Center for Atmos. Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- Sitch, S., B. Smith, I. C. Prentice, A. Arneth, A. Bondeau, W. Cramer, J. O. Kaplan, S. Levis, W. Lucht, M. T. Sykes, K. T. Honicke, and S. Venevsky, 2003: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model *Global Change Biology*, 9, 161–185.
- Takahashi, T., S. C. Sutherland, R. Wanninkhof, C. Sweeney, R. A. Feely, D. W. Chipman, B. Hales, G. Friederich, F. Chavez, A. Watson, D. C. E. Bakker, U. Schuster, N. Metzl, H. Yoshikawa-Inoue, M. Ishii, T. Midorikawa, Y. Nojiri, C. Sabine, J. Olafsson, T. S. Arnarson, B. Tilbrook, T. Johannessen, A. Olsen, Richard Bellerby, A. Körtzinger, T. Steinhoff, M. Hoppema, H. J. W. de Baar, C. S. Wong, B. Delille, and N. R. Bates, 2009: Climatological mean and decadal changes in surface ocean pCO2, and net sea-air CO2 flux over the global oceans *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 56, 554–577.
- Walter, B. P., M. Heimann, R. D. Shannon, J. R. White, 1996: A process-based model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23(25), 3731–3734.
- Walter, B. P. and M. Heimann, 2000: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate *Global Biochem. Cycles*, 14(3), 745–765.
- Walter, B. P., M. Heimann, and E. Matthews, 2001: Modeling modern methane emissions from natural wetlands 1. Model description and results J. Geophys. Res., 106(D24), 34,189–34,206.
- Walter, B. P., M. Heimann, and E. Matthews, 2001: Modeling modern methane emissions from natural wetlands 2. Interannual variations 1982-1993 J. Geophys. Res., 106(D24), 34,207–34,219.

Ward, D. E., R. A. Susott, J. B. Kauffman, R. E. Babbitt, D. L. Cummings, B. Dias, B. N. Holben, Y. J. Kaufman, R. A. Rasmussen, and A. W. Setzer, 1992: Smoke and Fire Characteristics for Cerrado and Deforestation Burns in Brazil: BASE-B Experiment J. Geophys. Res., 97(D13), 14,601–14,619.