

52. IWK


Internationales Wissenschaftliches Kolloquium
International Scientific Colloquium



PROCEEDINGS

10 - 13 September 2007

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND AUTOMATION



COMPUTER SCIENCE MEETS AUTOMATION

VOLUME I

Session 1 - Systems Engineering and Intelligent Systems

Session 2 - Advances in Control Theory and Control Engineering

**Session 3 - Optimisation and Management of Complex
Systems and Networked Systems**

Session 4 - Intelligent Vehicles and Mobile Systems


Session 5 - Robotics and Motion Systems



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der deutschen
Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über
<http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

ISBN 978-3-939473-17-6

Impressum

- Herausgeber: Der Rektor der Technischen Universität Ilmenau
Univ.-Prof. Dr. rer. nat. habil. Peter Scharff
- Redaktion: Referat Marketing und Studentische Angelegenheiten
Kongressorganisation
Andrea Schneider
Tel.: +49 3677 69-2520
Fax: +49 3677 69-1743
e-mail: kongressorganisation@tu-ilmenau.de
- Redaktionsschluss: Juli 2007
- Verlag: 
Technische Universität Ilmenau/Universitätsbibliothek
Universitätsverlag Ilmenau
Postfach 10 05 65
98684 Ilmenau
www.tu-ilmenau.de/universitaetsverlag
- Herstellung und
Auslieferung: Verlagshaus Monsenstein und Vannerdat OHG
Am Hawerkamp 31
48155 Münster
www.mv-verlag.de
- Layout Cover: www.cey-x.de
- Bezugsmöglichkeiten: Universitätsbibliothek der TU Ilmenau
Tel.: +49 3677 69-4615
Fax: +49 3677 69-4602

© Technische Universität Ilmenau (Thür.) 2007

Diese Publikationen und alle in ihr enthaltenen Beiträge und Abbildungen sind urheberrechtlich geschützt. Mit Ausnahme der gesetzlich zugelassenen Fälle ist eine Verwertung ohne Einwilligung der Redaktion strafbar.

Preface

Dear Participants,

Confronted with the ever-increasing complexity of technical processes and the growing demands on their efficiency, security and flexibility, the scientific world needs to establish new methods of engineering design and new methods of systems operation. The factors likely to affect the design of the smart systems of the future will doubtless include the following:

- As computational costs decrease, it will be possible to apply more complex algorithms, even in real time. These algorithms will take into account system nonlinearities or provide online optimisation of the system's performance.
- New fields of application will be addressed. Interest is now being expressed, beyond that in "classical" technical systems and processes, in environmental systems or medical and bioengineering applications.
- The boundaries between software and hardware design are being eroded. New design methods will include co-design of software and hardware and even of sensor and actuator components.
- Automation will not only replace human operators but will assist, support and supervise humans so that their work is safe and even more effective.
- Networked systems or swarms will be crucial, requiring improvement of the communication within them and study of how their behaviour can be made globally consistent.
- The issues of security and safety, not only during the operation of systems but also in the course of their design, will continue to increase in importance.

The title "Computer Science meets Automation", borne by the 52nd International Scientific Colloquium (IWK) at the Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany, expresses the desire of scientists and engineers to rise to these challenges, cooperating closely on innovative methods in the two disciplines of computer science and automation.

The IWK has a long tradition going back as far as 1953. In the years before 1989, a major function of the colloquium was to bring together scientists from both sides of the Iron Curtain. Naturally, bonds were also deepened between the countries from the East. Today, the objective of the colloquium is still to bring researchers together. They come from the eastern and western member states of the European Union, and, indeed, from all over the world. All who wish to share their ideas on the points where "Computer Science meets Automation" are addressed by this colloquium at the Technische Universität Ilmenau.

All the University's Faculties have joined forces to ensure that nothing is left out. Control engineering, information science, cybernetics, communication technology and systems engineering – for all of these and their applications (ranging from biological systems to heavy engineering), the issues are being covered.

Together with all the organizers I should like to thank you for your contributions to the conference, ensuring, as they do, a most interesting colloquium programme of an interdisciplinary nature.

I am looking forward to an inspiring colloquium. It promises to be a fine platform for you to present your research, to address new concepts and to meet colleagues in Ilmenau.



Professor Peter Scharff
Rector, TU Ilmenau



Professor Christoph Ament
Head of Organisation

Table of Contents

CONTENTS

	Page
1 Systems Engineering and Intelligent Systems	
A. Yu. Nedelina, W. Fengler DIPLAN: Distributed Planner for Decision Support Systems	3
O. Sokolov, M. Wagenknecht, U. Gocht Multiagent Intelligent Diagnostics of Arising Faults	9
V. Nissen Management Applications of Fuzzy Control	15
O. G. Rudenko, A. A. Bessonov, P. Otto A Method for Information Coding in CMAC Networks	21
Ye. Bodyanskiy, P. Otto, I. Pliss, N. Teslenko Nonlinear process identification and modeling using general regression neuro-fuzzy network	27
Ye. Bodyanskiy, Ye. Gorshkov, V. Kolodyazhniy, P. Otto Evolving Network Based on Double Neo-Fuzzy Neurons	35
Ch. Wachten, Ch. Ament, C. Müller, H. Reinecke Modeling of a Laser Tracker System with Galvanometer Scanner	41
K. Lüttkopf, M. Abel, B. Eylert Statistics of the truck activity on German Motorways	47
K. Meissner, H. Hensel A 3D process information display to visualize complex process conditions in the process industry	53
F.-F. Steege, C. Martin, H.-M. Groß Recent Advances in the Estimation of Pointing Poses on Monocular Images for Human-Robot Interaction	59
A. González, H. Fernlund, J. Ekblad After Action Review by Comparison – an Approach to Automatically Evaluating Trainee Performance in Training Exercise	65
R. Suzuki, N. Fujiki, Y. Taru, N. Kobayashi, E. P. Hofer Internal Model Control for Assistive Devices in Rehabilitation Technology	71
D. Sommer, M. Golz Feature Reduction for Microsleep Detection	77

F. Müller, A. Wenzel, J. Wernstedt A new strategy for on-line Monitoring and Competence Assignment to Driver and Vehicle	83
V. Borikov Linear Parameter-Oriented Model of Microplasma Process in Electrolyte Solutions	89
A. Avshalumov, G. Filaretov Detection and Analysis of Impulse Point Sequences on Correlated Disturbance Phone	95
H. Salzwedel Complex Systems Design Automation in the Presence of Bounded and Statistical Uncertainties	101
G. J. Nalepa, I. Wojnicki Filling the Semantic Gaps in Systems Engineering	107
R. Knauf Compiling Experience into Knowledge	113
R. Knauf, S. Tsuruta, Y. Sakurai Toward Knowledge Engineering with Didactic Knowledge	119
2 Advances in Control Theory and Control Engineering	
U. Konigorski, A. López Output Coupling by Dynamic Output Feedback	129
H. Toossian Shandiz, A. Hajipoor Chaos in the Fractional Order Chua System and its Control	135
O. Katernoga, V. Popov, A. Potapovich, G. Davydau Methods for Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Control Systems with Time Delay for Application in Automatic Devices	141
J. Zimmermann, O. Sawodny Modelling and Control of a X-Y-Fine-Positioning Table	145
A. Winkler, J. Suchý Position Based Force Control of an Industrial Manipulator	151
E. Arnold, J. Neupert, O. Sawodny, K. Schneider Trajectory Tracking for Boom Cranes Based on Nonlinear Control and Optimal Trajectory Generation	157

K. Shaposhnikov, V. Astakhov The method of ortogonal projections in problems of the stationary magnetic field computation	165
J. Naumenko The computing of sinusoidal magnetic fields in presence of the surface with bounded conductivity	167
K. Bayramkulov, V. Astakhov The method of the boundary equations in problems of computing static and stationary fields on the topological graph	169
T. Kochubey, V. Astakhov The computation of magnetic field in the presence of ideal conductors using the Integral-differential equation of the first kind	171
M. Schneider, U. Lehmann, J. Krone, P. Langbein, Ch. Ament, P. Otto, U. Stark, J. Schrickel Artificial neural network for product-accompanied analysis and control	173
I. Jawish The Improvement of Traveling Responses of a Subway Train using Fuzzy Logic Techniques	179
Y. Gu, H. Su, J. Chu An Approach for Transforming Nonlinear System Modeled by the Feedforward Neural Networks to Discrete Uncertain Linear System	185
3 Optimisation and Management of Complex Systems and Networked Systems	
R. Franke, J. Doppelhammer Advanced model based control in the Industrial IT System 800xA	193
H. Gerbracht, P. Li, W. Hong An efficient optimization approach to optimal control of large-scale processes	199
T. N. Pham, B. Wutke Modifying the Bellman's dynamic programming to the solution of the discrete multi-criteria optimization problem under fuzziness in long-term planning	205
S. Ritter, P. Bretschneider Optimale Planung und Betriebsführung der Energieversorgung im liberalisierten Energiemarkt	211
P. Bretschneider, D. Westermann Intelligente Energiesysteme: Chancen und Potentiale von IuK-Technologien	217

Z. Lu, Y. Zhong, Yu. Wu, J. Wu WSReMS: A Novel WSDM-based System Resource Management Scheme	223
M. Heit, E. Jennenchen, V. Kruglyak, D. Westermann Simulation des Strommarktes unter Verwendung von Petrinetzen	229
O. Sauer, M. Ebel Engineering of production monitoring & control systems	237
C. Behn, K. Zimmermann Biologically inspired Locomotion Systems and Adaptive Control	245
J. W. Vervoorst, T. Kopfstedt Mission Planning for UAV Swarms	251
M. Kaufmann, G. Bretthauer Development and composition of control logic networks for distributed mechatronic systems in a heterogeneous architecture	257
T. Kopfstedt, J. W. Vervoorst Formation Control for Groups of Mobile Robots Using a Hierarchical Controller Structure	263
M. Abel, Th. Lohfelder Simulation of the Communication Behaviour of the German Toll System	269
P. Hilgers, Ch. Ament Control in Digital Sensor-Actuator-Networks	275
C. Saul, A. Mitschele-Thiel, A. Diab, M. Abd rabou Kalil A Survey of MAC Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks	281
T. Rossbach, M. Götze, A. Schreiber, M. Eifart, W. Kattanek Wireless Sensor Networks at their Limits – Design Considerations and Prototype Experiments	287
Y. Zhong, J. Ma Ring Domain-Based Key Management in Wireless Sensor Network	293
V. Nissen Automatic Forecast Model Selection in SAP Business Information Warehouse under Noise Conditions	299
M. Kühn, F. Richter, H. Salzwedel Process simulation for significant efficiency gains in clinical departments – practical example of a cancer clinic	305

D. Westermann, M. Kratz, St. Kümmerling, P. Meyer Architektur eines Simulators für Energie-, Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien	311
P. Moreno, D. Westermann, P. Müller, F. Büchner Einsatzoptimierung von dezentralen netzgekoppelten Stromerzeugungsanlagen (DEA) in Verteilnetzen durch Erhöhung des Automatisierungsgrades	317
M. Heit, S. Rozhenko, M. Kryvenka, D. Westermann Mathematische Bewertung von Engpass-Situationen in Transportnetzen elektrischer Energie mittels lastflussbasierter Auktion	331
M. Lemmel, M. Schnatmeyer RFID-Technology in Warehouse Logistics	339
V. Krugljak, M. Heit, D. Westermann Approaches for modelling power market: A Comparison.	345
St. Kümmerling, N. Döring, A. Friedemann, M. Kratz, D. Westermann Demand-Side-Management in Privathaushalten – Der eBox-Ansatz	351
4 Intelligent Vehicles and Mobile Systems	
A. P. Aguiar, R. Ghabchelloo, A. Pascoal, C. Silvestre , F. Vanni Coordinated Path following of Multiple Marine Vehicles: Theoretical Issues and Practical Constraints	359
R. Engel, J. Kalwa Robust Relative Positioning of Multiple Underwater Vehicles	365
M. Jacobi, T. Pfützenreuter, T. Glotzbach, M. Schneider A 3D Simulation and Visualisation Environment for Unmanned Vehicles in Underwater Scenarios	371
M. Schneider, M. Eichhorn, T. Glotzbach, P. Otto A High-Level Simulator for heterogeneous marine vehicle teams under real constraints	377
A. Zangrilli, A. Picini Unmanned Marine Vehicles working in cooperation: market trends and technological requirements	383
T. Glotzbach, P. Otto, M. Schneider, M. Marinov A Concept for Team-Orientated Mission Planning and Formal Language Verification for Heterogeneous Unmanned Vehicles	389

M. A. Arredondo, A. Cormack SeeTrack: Situation Awareness Tool for Heterogeneous Vehicles	395
J. C. Ferreira, P. B. Maia, A. Lucia, A. I. Zapaniotis Virtual Prototyping of an Innovative Urban Vehicle	401
A. Wenzel, A. Gehr, T. Glotzbach, F. Müller Superfour-in: An all-terrain wheelchair with monitoring possibilities to enhance the life quality of people with walking disability	407
Th. Krause, P. Protzel Verteiltes, dynamisches Antriebssystem zur Steuerung eines Luftschiffes	413
T. Behrmann, M. Lemmel Vehicle with pure electric hybrid energy storage system	419
Ch. Schröter, M. Höchemer, H.-M. Groß A Particle Filter for the Dynamic Window Approach to Mobile Robot Control	425
M. Schenderlein, K. Debes, A. Koenig, H.-M. Groß Appearance-based Visual Localisation in Outdoor Environments with an Omnidirectional Camera	431
G. Al Zeer, A. Nabout, B. Tibken Hindernsvermeidung für Mobile Roboter mittels Ausweichecken	437
5 Robotics and Motion Systems	
Ch. Schröter, H.-M. Groß Efficient Gridmaps for SLAM with Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filters	445
St. Müller, A. Scheidig, A. Ober, H.-M. Groß Making Mobile Robots Smarter by Probabilistic User Modeling and Tracking	451
A. Swerdlow, T. Machmer, K. Kroschel, A. Laubenheimer, S. Richter Opto-acoustical Scene Analysis for a Humanoid Robot	457
A. Ahranovich, S. Karpovich, K. Zimmermann Multicoordinate Positioning System Design and Simulation	463
A. Balkovoy, V. Cacenkin, G. Slivinskaia Statical and dynamical accuracy of direct drive servo systems	469
Y. Litvinov, S. Karpovich, A. Ahranovich The 6-DOF Spatial Parallel Mechanism Control System Computer Simulation	477

V. Lysenko, W. Mintchenya, K. Zimmermann 483
Minimization of the number of actuators in legged robots using biological objects

J. Kroneis, T. Gastauer, S. Liu, B. Sauer 489
Flexible modeling and vibration analysis of a parallel robot with numerical and analytical methods for the purpose of active vibration damping

A. Amthor, T. Hausotte, G. Jäger, P. Li 495
Friction Modeling on Nanometerscale and Experimental Verification

Paper submitted after copy deadline

2 Advances in Control Theory and Control Engineering

V. Piwek, B. Kuhfuss, S. Allers 503
Feed drivers – Synchronized Motion is leading to a process optimization

C. Schröter, H.-M. Gross

Efficient Gridmaps for SLAM with Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filters

Abstract

Simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) has been an important field of research in the robotics community in recent years. A successful class of SLAM algorithms are Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filters (RBPF), where the particles approximate the pose belief distribution, while each particle contains a separate map. So far, RBPF with landmark based environment representations as well as gridmaps have been shown to work. Existing gridmap approaches typically used laser range scanners, because the high accuracy of that sensor keeps the state uncertainty low and allows for efficient solutions. In this paper, we present a combination of our previous work on map-matching with RBPF, which enable us to solve the SLAM problem also with low-resolution sonar range sensors. Furthermore, we introduce a simple and fast but very efficient shared representation of gridmaps which reduces the memory cost overhead caused by inherent redundancy between the particles.

1 Introduction and Related Work

In order to navigate autonomously, a basic requirement for a mobile robot is the ability to build a map of the environment. Because mapping depends on a good estimate of the robot's pose w.r.t. the environment, while localization needs a consistent map, the localization and mapping problems are coupled in applications where an unknown area has to be explored without an external position reference like GPS. The term Simultaneous Localization And Mapping (SLAM) has been coined for this problem [1]. SLAM can be seen as a generalization of the map building problem, as it describes the objective of acquiring a map of the environment without assuming any additional position information apart from those that can be derived from the mapping process itself.

There are two main criteria that can be used to categorize existing SLAM techniques: the kind of model used to describe the robot and environment state and the algorithm that is utilized to estimate the state belief.

In many SLAM approaches, the map representation is assumed to be a vector of point-like feature positions [2], also called landmarks. The attractiveness of feature/landmark-based representations for SLAM lies in their compactness. However, they rely on *a priori* knowledge about the structure of the environment to identify and distinguish potential landmarks. Furthermore, a data association problem arises from the need to robustly recognize landmarks. In contrast to landmark representations, gridmaps [3] do not make assumptions about specific features to be observable in the environment. They can represent arbitrary environment structures with nearly unlimited detail. However, they require a large amount of memory.

An effective means of handling the high-dimensionality in the SLAM problem has been introduced in the form of the Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter (RBPF): in this approach the state space is partitioned into the pose and map state. A particle filter approximates the pose belief distribution of the robot, while each particle contains a map which represents the model of the environment, assuming the pose estimation of that specific particle to be correct.

Our aim here is to use a RBPF for grid mapping using no other sensory input than robot odometry and low-resolution sonar range scans. Since this requires a relatively large number of particles, we have to emphasize the efficient representation of the maps carried by the particles. To this purpose we present a short analysis of map redundancy between particles and a map storing scheme that exploits that redundancy in order to save memory.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: We give a short introduction to the RBPF approach for SLAM in the next section. Section 3 will explain the specific details of our Sonar-SLAM implementation, while section 4 deals with the shared gridmap representation. Experiments with real robot data are presented and discussed in section 5, section 6 closes with a short summary and outlook.

2 Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter for SLAM

As already described before, the complexity of the SLAM problem arises from the very high-dimensional state space, consisting of the variables describing the robot pose and the variables describing the environment state. In the case of gridmaps, the map alone usually contains a few thousands up to several million cells, each of which corresponding to a state variable. Obviously, a full posterior over the state is extremely costly to estimate. The idea of the RBPF in application to SLAM is to use a particle filter to estimate the robot trajectory distribution $p(x_{1:t}|z_{1:t}, u_{0:t})$ given the sequence of odometry measurements $u_{0:t}$ and environment observations $z_{1:t}$. This trajectory estimate is then used to estimate the desired distribution over map and trajectory:

$$p(x_{1:t}, m|z_{1:t}, u_{0:t}) = p(m|x_{1:t}, z_{1:t})p(x_{1:t}|z_{1:t}, u_{0:t}) \quad (1)$$

The particle filter works analogous to Monte-Carlo-Localization [7], except that instead of one given map each particle contains a separate map. To calculate the importance weights for $p(x_{1:t})$, each particle uses its own map. The map, in return, is built from the estimated trajectory of that corresponding particle. The effect is that a number of hypothesis maps are built, each corresponding to a possible trajectory. Importance weighting is performed with the weight for particle i following

$$w^{(i)} \simeq \frac{p(x_t^{(i)}|z_{1:t}, u_{0:t})}{\pi(x_t^{(i)}|z_{1:t}, u_{0:t})} \quad (2)$$

Here, $\pi(x_t^{(i)})$ denotes the proposal distribution. Typically, the motion model is used to generate the proposal distribution from the last particle generation (again, in analogy to localization), in which case the weight formula simplifies to

$$w^i \simeq p(z_t|x_t^{(i)}, m^{(i)}) \quad (3)$$

By repeatedly calculating importance weights followed by resampling to adapt the particle distribution to the estimated distribution, particles are preferred whose maps match new observations best, therefore the most likely map is selected.

3 Sonar Grid SLAM




Figure 1: Left - Data representation overview: The particles model the distribution of the robot pose belief. Each particle carries a full map of the environment, which is a combination of the full particle trajectory and the sonar range measurements, and a local map, which only contains the most recent measurements. Right - Map matching: For the upper particle the local map (clean white) is aligned to the global map (hatched) very well, while for the lower particle, which does not contain a position belief consistent with the environment, the local map conflicts with the global map. This situation would result in a higher weight for the upper particle.

The base of our Sonar SLAM approach is a particle filter, where each particle contains a pose estimate as well as a map estimate. Without loss of generality we can assume the robot to start mapping at position $(0,0,0)$. While the robot moves, the particles move as well, according to the odometry readings and the probabilistic odometry motion model, which describes the uncertainty in the actual robot motion. Due to this uncertainty, the motion model contains a stochastic component, which effects in the particles spreading out and generating slightly different trajectories. Additionally, during motion the robot observes the environment by means of sonar range sensors. A map update is triggered frequently (approx. every 0.2m). In that map update, each particle adds the new environment observation to its own map, at its own estimated current position. Since the position estimates of the particles are slightly different, the maps differ as well (Fig. 1).

In order to determine the likeliness of a map hypothesis, we need to calculate particle weights by comparing expected and sensed measurement.

We already presented a way of comparing expectation and observation from sonar range sensors in a previous work on mapping [6]. There, we proposed an approach we called map matching: a local map was built from only the most recent sonar measurements and the resulting local map was matched against the global map to find the most likely position w.r.t. that global map. In order to be able to use map matching, each particle must not only know its global map, but also a local map. We exclude the most recent range measurements from the global map, and use those measurements for the local map. That way, global and local map are built from different data and we avoid comparing certain measurements against themselves. The local map can either be rebuilt from the pose and scan queues for each weight calculation or be persistent in the particle by just adding every new scan and forgetting old scans. Making the local map persistent is more efficient but less flexible.

The calculation of the match value between the local and global map is quite simple: For each occupied cell in the local map the occupancy value of the corresponding cell in the global map is tested. If the global map cell also is occupied, that cell contributes with a value of +1. If the global map cell is free, it contributes with a value of -1. Cells with unknown or undecided occupancy do not contribute. That way, the match value is positive if local and global map are very similar, and it is negative if many objects exist in the local map where there is free space in the global map. To obtain the actual particle weight $match^{(i)}$, an exponential function is applied as follows:

$$w^{(i)} = e^{\frac{match^{(i)}}{f}} \quad (4)$$

with f being a free parameter to influence the spread in the particle weights and therefore the speed of convergence.

4 Shared Gridmaps




Figure 2: Left - Analysis: The robot started at position 1, closed the loop and moved onward to position 3. Particle B was generated as a copy of A during resampling approx. at position 2. Therefore, the major part of the map is identical between particles A and B. Right - Improved map representation: Particle A contains a map which consists of a number of separate patches. Particle B is created as a copy of A - the map of B consists of references to the patches in A. Only when A or B modifies a certain patch, it creates a real copy, so Particle A and B then have a separate instance of that patch.

A major problem with using gridmaps in RBPF is the memory cost: In a naive implementation, the number of cell values to be stored would be the product of grid size and particle number. However, the maps of the individual particles are not completely independent: In the resampling as part of the observation update, particles with low weights are deleted and replaced by copies of particles with higher weights. This results in multiple identical copies of the same map. Afterwards, each of the particles will modify its respective map differently, according to the path assumed through the probabilistic motion model: The copies will not remain identical, but it is important to notice the changes often only affect a small area of the already acquired map (see Fig. 2). The idea to save wasting memory for redundant information therefore is to split up the map into smaller patches and share those patches across the particles. When a particle A is cloned, each "copy" of a map patch belonging to the clone particle B is just a reference to the original patch. Only when either A or B modify a map patch later, a real copy is created in the local memory of the respective particle.

The effect of this representation is that the memory cost is not determined by the map area, but by the size of path loops. As long as a loop is not closed yet, particles are diverging and many path hypotheses are maintained. When the loop gets closed, only the best particles survive, and new particles are generated as copies of those few best fitting hypotheses. While a loop is open, each particle holds an own independent map of that loop, but when it is closed, only few unique maps of that specific loop (the best fitting ones) continue to exist. Therefore, the "residual" memory cost is determined by the entire map area (the sum of all loops) and nearly independent of the particle number, while the peak memory cost is determined by particle number and maximum length of a single loop.

5 Experiments

To test our approach we built maps of a home store which is the regular test environment for our navigation algorithms. This environment is very well suited for our proposed SLAM approach as it essentially consists of a large number of small circles of hallways (50 to 100 m loop length). Fig. 3 shows the resulting map and the the overall memory usage for all particles over time. The data shows that our SLAM approach using map matching and shared gridmaps builds a consistent map with a bounded amount of memory. Only robot odometry and sonar range sensors were used in those experiments.




Figure 3: Row 1: Several steps of mapping (500 particles): Yellow dots denote the particle positions, path (magenta line) and map for one selected particle are shown.
 Row 2: Map memory cost for plain gridmaps (green) and shared maps (red, see section 4). It is clearly visible that the memory for plain maps is growing monotonously, while for the shared maps the cost collapses with each loop closure.

6 Summary & Outlook

We presented an implementation of RBPF with gridmaps which is able to solve the SLAM problem with low-resolution sensors such as sonar range finders. Furthermore, we introduced a shared map representation for particle filters which effectively makes the maximum memory cost depend on the loop size instead of the overall map size. Experiments show that our approach is well suited for large-scale environments consisting of many loops.

References

- [1] S. Thrun, W. Burgard, and D. Fox, *Probabilistic Robotics*, The MIT Press, 2005.
- [2] R. Smith, M. Self, and P. Cheeseman, “A stochastic map for uncertain spatial relationships,” in *4th International Symposium on Robotic Research*. 1987, MIT Press.
- [3] H. Moravec, “Sensor fusion in certainty grids for mobile robots,” *AI Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 61–77, 1988.
- [4] K. P. Murphy, “Bayesian map learning in dynamic environments,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 12 (NIPS99)*, 1999, pp. 1015–1021.
- [5] D. Haehnel, W. Burgard, D. Fox, and S. Thrun, “An efficient FastSLAM algorithm for generating maps of large-scale cyclic environments from raw laser range measurements,” in *Proc. IROS-2003*, pp. 206–211.
- [6] C. Schroeter, H.-J. Boehme, and H.-M. Gross, “Robust map building for an autonomous robot using low-cost sensors,” in *Proc. SMC-2004*, pp. 5398 – 5403.
- [7] D. Fox, W. Burgard, F. Dellaert and S. Thrun, “Monte Carlo Localization: Efficient Position Estimation for Mobile Robots” in *Proc. AAAI Natl. Conf. on Artificial Intelligence*, 1999, pp. 5398 – 5403.
- [8] C. Schroeter, A. Koenig, H.-J. Boehme, and H.-M. Gross, “Multi-sensor Monte-Carlo-Localization combining omnivision and sonar range sensors,” in *Proc. ECMR-2005*, pp. 164–169.

Author Information:

Christof Schröter, Horst-Michael Gross Department of Neuroinformatics and Cognitive Robotics, Faculty of Computer Science and Automation,
 Ilmenau Technical University, PO Box 10 05 65, 98684 Ilmenau
 Tel: +49 3677 69 1306
 Fax: +49 3677 69 1665
 E-mail: christof.schroeter@tu-ilmenau.de